



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राप्तिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 45]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, नवम्बर 6, 1965/कार्तिक 15, 1887

No. 45]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1965/KARTIKA 15, 1887

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या वाली जाती हैं जिससे ये वह अलग संहिता के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे निवे भाग के अनाधारण दिन 26 अक्टूबर 1965 का प्रकाशित हित नूः :

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 26th October, 1965 :—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
262.	S. O. 3327, dated 18th October, 1965.	Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.	Approval of film as specified therein.
263.	S. O. 3328, dated 18th October, 1965.	Ministry of Steel and Mines.	The Scarce Industrial Material (Control) (Third Amendment) Order, 1965.
264.	S. O. 3329, dated 19th October, 1965.	Ministry of Industry & Supply.	Giving certain directions to the Authorised Controller of M/s. Hindustan Vehicles Ltd., Patna.
265.	S. O. 3330, dated 19th October, 1965.	Ministry of Steel & Mines.	Prescribing certain forms of permit for the acquisition, use, sale or disposal of any scarce industrial material.
266.	S. O. 3331, dated 20th October, 1965.	Ministry of Finance.	Specifying certain securities under clause 4 of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.
267.	S. O. 3332, dated 21st October, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce.	Appointing Shri D. K. Baisantry, Assistant Press Registrar as Controller under Newsprint Control Order, 1962.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
268.	S. O. 3333, dated 22nd October, 1965.	Election Commission, India.	Calling upon the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of West Bengal to elect a person to fill a vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri Nihar Ranjan Ray in the Council of States of the said State.
	S. O. 3334, dated 22nd October, 1965.	Do.	Appointing dates etc. for the above election (S. O. 3333).
	S. O. 3335, dated 22nd October, 1965.	Do.	Fixation of hours for the election referred to in S. O. 3333.
	S. O. 3336 dated 22nd October, 1965.	Do.	Designating the Secretary, West Bengal Legislative Assembly, Calcutta to be the Returning officer, for the above election (S. O. 3333).
	S.O. 3337, dated 22nd October, 1965.	Do.	Appointing Shri Shyamapada Banerjee, Dy. Secretary, West Bengal Legislative Assembly, Calcutta to assist the Returning Officer for the above election (S. O. 3333).
269.	S. O. 3401, dated 22nd October, 1965.	Ministry of Steel and Mines.	Appointing Shri Chhedi Lal, Jt. Secy. as an administrator of the Metal Corporation of India Ltd.
270.	S. O. 3402, dated 26th October, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce.	Further amendment to the Exports (Control) Order, 1962.
271.	S. O. 3403, dated 26th October, 1965.	Ministry of Finance.	Exempting every person tendering gold to certain offices as mentioned therein.
272.	S. O. 3404, dated 26th October, 1965.	Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.	Approval of films as specified therein.

उपर लिखे असाधारण गजटों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक सिविल साइंस, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ सत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) संघीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा आरी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 20th October 1965.

S.O. 3412.—The following Order of the Chief Election Commissioner is published for general information:—

ORDER NO. 19

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of section 8 of the Two-Member Constituencies (Abolition) Act, 1961, I hereby make the following amendments in Schedule VIII of the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies Order, 1961, namely,—

I. In Part B of the said Schedule,—

- (i) in the entry in column 2 against item 23, for the word "Borivali" the word "Kurla" shall be substituted;
- (ii) in the entry in column 2 against item 24, the brackets and words "(excluding Mulund and Nahur villages)" shall be deleted;
- (iii) for the entry in column 2 against item 85, entry "Jath taluka; and the villages in Kavthe-Mahankal mahal specified in item (29) of the Appendix," shall be substituted;
- (iv) for the entry in column 2 against item 86, the entry "Miraj taluka [excluding Sangli Municipality and the villages specified in item (30) of the Appendix]; and the villages in Kavthe—Mahankal mahal specified in item (29A) of the Appendix", shall be substituted;
- (v) for the entry in column 2 against item 87, the entry "Sangli Municipality and the villages in Miraj taluka specified in item (30) of the Appendix and the villages in Kavthe-Mahankal mahal specified in item (30A) of the Appendix", shall be substituted;
- (vi) for the entry in column 2 against item 89, the entry "Atpadi Mahal; and the villages in Khanapur taluka specified in item (32) of the Appendix; the villages in Tasgaon taluka specified in item (31) of the Appendix", shall be substituted;
- (vii) for the entry in column 2 against item 109, the entry "Pandharpur taluka (excluding Bardi village)", shall be substituted;
- (viii) to the entry in column 2 against item 114, the words, "and Bardi village in Pandharpur taluka", shall be added;
- (ix) in the entry in column 2 against item 170, after the words "Murtajapur R.I.C." the words "and Wadhavi and Isafpur villages in Pinjar R.I.C.", shall be inserted;
- (x) in the entry against item 171, after the words and brackets "Sheluvetal villages" the words "and Wadhavi and Isafpur villages in Pinjar R.I.C.", shall be inserted;
- (xi) for the entry in column 2 against item 193, the entry "Mahali R.I.C. (excluding Patwari circle Nos. 33 to 37 and Khairlanji, Bandri, Ghorpad and Dhusala villages in Patwari circle No. 38 and Sakarla villages in Patwari circle No. 40) and Patwari circles Nos. 46 to 55, 57, Patwari circle No. 65 (excluding Palgaon and Pachkedi villages and Malegaon village in Patwari circle No. 58 in Bhandra R.I.C. in Bhandra taluka)", shall be substituted;
- (xii) for the entry in column 2 against item 194, the entry "Adyar R.I.C. and Bhandara R.I.C. (excluding Patwari circles Nos. 46 to 55, 57, 65 and

Malegaon village in Patwari circle No. 58 but including Palgaon and Pachkedi villages in Patwari circle No. 65) in Bhandara taluka", shall be substituted;

(xiii) in the entry in column 2 against item 195, for the words and figures, "Patwari circles Nos. 30 to 35" the words and figures, "Patwari circles Nos. 33 to 37 and 38 (excluding village Navegaon) and Sakarla village in Patwari circle No. 40", shall be substituted;

(xiv) for the entry in column 2 against item 197, the entry "Gondia R.I.C. (excluding Patwari circles Nos. 47 to 49 and Sirpur village in Patwari circle No. 43. Chargaon, Mogara and Bhadutola villages in Patwari circle No. 45, Khatiya, Batana and Mundipar villages in Patwari circle No. 46) in Gondia taluka", shall be substituted;

(xv) for the entry in column 2 against item 198, the entry "Goregaon R.I.C. and Patwari circles Nos. 47 to 49 and Sirpur village in Patwari circle No. 43, Chargaon, Magara and Bhadutola villages in Patwari circle No. 45, Khatiya, Batana and Mundipur villages in Patwari circle No. 46 in Gondia R.I.C. in Gondia taluka", shall be substituted;

(xvi) in the entry in column 2 against item 199, for the words and figures "Patwari circle Nos. 50 and 51", the words and figures "Patwari circle No. 65 (excluding Khoba village). Patwari circle No. 66 (excluding Sawangi village) and Patwari circle No. 70", shall be substituted;

(xvii) in the entry in column 2 against item 200, for the words and figures "Patwari circles Nos. 21 to 30", the words and figures "Patwari circles Nos. 26 to 35", shall be substituted;

(xviii) for the entry in column 2 against item 201, the entry "Sakoli taluka (excluding Sakoli and Deori R.I.Cs. Patwari circles Nos. 26 to 35 in Lakhanpur R.I.C. and Patwari circles Nos. 65, 66 and 70 but including Khoba village in Patwari circle No. 65 and Sawangi village in Patwari circle No. 66 in Sadak Arjuni R.I.C.)", shall be substituted;

(xix) at the end, the following Note shall be added, namely:—

"NOTE.—Any reference in this Part to a district or other territorial division shall be taken to mean the area comprised within that district or territorial division on the 1st day of August, 1964."

II. In the Appendix to the said schedule.—

(i) in item (12), for the entry "19. Hingane Budruk (Corporation)" read "19. Maharshi Karve Nagar (Corporation)";

(ii) in item (24), for the entry "5. Hanedwadi" read "5. Handewadi";

(iii) in item (24), after the entry "20. Yamekond" insert "21-Watangi";

(iv) in item (25), for the entry "13. Chandra" read "13. Chandre";

(v) in item (25), for the entry "19. Kasarwade" read "19. Kasarwada";

(vi) in item (26), for the entry "12. Shirob Du" read "Shiroli Dn.:";

(vii) in item (26), for the entry "22. Halad Du." read "22. Haladi Dn.:";

(viii) in item (26), for the entry "46. Murukali" read "46. Kurukali";

(ix) in item (27), for the entry "12. Mehare" read "12. Mohare";

(x) in item (27), for the entry "16. Sarvade T. Satave" read "16. Savarde T. Satave";

(xi) in item (28), for the entry "3. Mandapale" read "3. Manpadale";

(xii) in item (29), for the heading "Villages in Miraj taluka included in Jath Assembly Constituency" read "Villages in Kavthe-Mahankal mahal included in Jath Assembly Constituency";

(xiii) after item (29), the following item shall be inserted, namely:—

"(29A)

Villages in Kavthe-Mahankal mahal included in Miraj Assembly Constituency.

1. Agalgaon.
2. Ghat Nandre.
3. Hingangaon.
4. Jakhapur.
5. Jaygavhan.

6. Kavthe Mahankal.
7. Kognoli.
8. Kuchi.
9. Kuktoli.
10. Kundalapur.
11. Malangaon.
12. Rayawadi.
13. Sarati.
14. Shirdhon.
15. Tisangl.
16. Vagholi".

(xiv) in item (30), the entries,

- "1. Alkud (Miraj Jr. State)
5. Borgaon.
8. Deshing.
14. Haroli", and

"21. Kharshing", shall be deleted and the remaining entries renumbered as 1 to 33;

(xv) after item (30), the following item shall be inserted, namely:—

“(30A)

Villages in Kavthe-Mahankal mahal included in Sangli Assembly Constituency.

1. Alkud (Miraj Jr. State).
2. Borgaon.
3. Deshing.
4. Haroli.
5. Kharsing".

(xvi) in item (32), the entries 1 to 33 shall be deleted and the remaining entries renumbered as 1 to 12;

(xvii) in item (42), the entry "15. Bardi" shall be deleted and the entries 16 and 17 renumbered as 15 and 16;

(xviii) in item (51), for the entry "1. Anandvali" read "1. Anandvalli";

(xix) in item (51), for the entry "12. Metaghera (Trimbak)" read "12. Metghera Kill'a Trimbak";

(xx) in item (51), for the entry "26. Brahmanwadi Trimbak" read "26. Brahmanwade Trimbak";

(xxi) in item (51), for the entry "37. Metkavera" read "37. Metkavra";

(xxii) in item (51), for the entry "38. Torangaon" read "38. Torangan";

(xxiii) in item (53), the entry "9. Autalc" shall be deleted and the remaining entries renumbered as 9 to 37;

(xxiv) in item (54), for the entry "59. Dahiwal" read "59. Dahiwal";

(xxv) in item (54), for the entry "70. Bhilokot" read "70. Bhilkot";

(xxvi) in item (55), for the entry "27. Hohite Budruk" read "27. Rohile Budruk";

(xxvii) in item (55), for the entry "29. Londhare" read "29. Rodhare";

(xxviii) in item (56) for the entry "35. Pimpardi" read "35. Pimpri";

(xxix) in item (56), the entries "1. Abhanpur Khurd", "6. Bhatana", "30. Mamane", "38. Tarhad", and "39. Tarhad Tarhard", shall be deleted and the remaining entries renumbered as 1 to 42.

III. In the Annexure to the said Schedule, in item 23, for the word "Borivali", the word "Kurla" shall be substituted.

New Delhi, the 20th October, 1965.

K. V. K. SUNDARAM,
Chief Election Commissioner.

[No. 282/MT/65.]

By Order,
PRAKASH NARAIN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF LAW

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3413.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 299 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that all contracts and other instruments required to be made in the exercise of the executive power of the Union, in connection with the supply of equipment and technical assistance for the construction of the 1000 Kw Mw transmitter in Calcutta by All Union Export-Import Corporation, Moscow, shall be executed on his behalf by the Chief Engineer, All India Radio, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, New Delhi.

[No. F. 17(1)/65-J.]

A. P. ROY, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3414.—In exercise of the powers conferred under entry 3(c) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 15/13/59(V)-P.IV dated the 13th July, 1962 [GSR 991 published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii) dated the 28th July, 1962], the Central Government is pleased to specify Kumar Dipendra Singh, brother of the Ruler of Nimkhera, for the purpose of that entry and directs that the exemption shall be valid in respect of one .12 bore gun, one rifle and one pistol/revolver only.

[No. 16/17/65-P.IV.]

G. L. BAILUR, Under Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 अक्टूबर, 1965

एस० ओ० 3415.—भारतीय राजपत्र के 28 जुलाई 1962 के भाग II खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (II) में प्रकाशित गृह मंत्रालय की 13 जुलाई 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59-(v)-पी०- 4 के साथ संलग्न अनुसूची 1 के प्रविष्टि 3(g) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारियों का उपयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उस प्रविष्टि के लिये नीमबेड़ा के शासक के भाई कुमार दीपेन्द्र सिंह के नाम का सहर्ष उल्लेख करती है कि यह छूट केवल .12 बोर की एक बन्दूक, एक गाइफल तथा एक पिस्तौल खिलाफ के बोर में लागू होगी।

[संख्या 16/17/65-पी० 4]

जी० एल० बैलूर,
अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार।

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3416.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 258 of the Constitution and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs, No. 1/75/64-F. III, dated the 3rd December, 1964, as amended by the notification of the same number, dated the 8th January, 1965, the President, with the consent of the Government of the State of Maharashtra, hereby entrusts also to the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Security), Special Branch (I), Criminal Investigation Department, Bombay, the functions of the Central Government in making orders of the nature specified in clauses (a), (b), (c) and (cc) of

sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Foreigners Act, 1946 (31 of 1946), subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (a) that the functions so entrusted shall be exercised in respect of nationals of Pakistan;
- (b) that in the exercise of such functions the said Deputy Commissioner of Police shall comply with such general or special directions as the Government of the State of Maharashtra or the Central Government may from time to time issue; and
- (c) that notwithstanding this entrustment, the Central Government may itself exercise any of the said functions should it deem fit to do so in any case.

[No. 1/71/65-F.III.]

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3417.—In pursuance of clause (ii) of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 10 of the Foreigners Order, 1948, the Central Government hereby specifies the following undertaking for the purposes of the said clause, namely:—

The Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. 6/55/65-F.I.]

FATEH SINGH, Jt. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3418.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 50 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, (2 of 1934), the Central Government hereby appoints the following firms as Auditors of the Reserve Bank of India for the year 1965-66, namely—

- (1) Messrs. S. B. Billimoria & Co., 113, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay.
- (2) Messrs. Brahmayya & Co., 337, Thambu Chetty Street, Madras-1.
- (3) Messrs. P. K. Ghosh & Co., P-39, Prinsep Street, Calcutta-13.

[No. F. 3(46)-BC/65.]

New Delhi, the 29th October 1965

S.O. 3419.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Cochin Commercial Bank Ltd., Cochin in respect of the immovable property held by it at Trichur Town, till the 12th January, 1966.

[No. F. 15(25)-BC/65.]

V. SWAMINATHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3420.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (ii) of clause (f) of sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Industrial Development Bank of India Act, 1964 (18 of 1964), the Central Government hereby notifies the Kreditanstalt fur Wiederaufbau, Frankfurt/Main, West Germany (Reconstruction Loan Corporation) as a financial institution for the purpose of that sub-clause.

[No. F. 10(13) Corp/65.]

A. K. NATARAJAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3421—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 15th October 1965

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up	5,00,00,000	Notes	30,27,09,000
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin	3,52,000
		Small Coin	3,21,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted	—
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	100,00,00,000	(a) Internal	—
		(b) External	—
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	125,76,78,000
Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	10,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad*	10,42,31,000
		Investments**	102,21,11,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	15,00,00,000	(i) Central Government	—
Deposits :—		(ii) State Governments@	107,77,46,000
(a) Government :—		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Scheduled Banks†	4,34,45,000
(i) Central Government	53,11,81,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks‡	161,44,99,000
		(iii) Others	2,45,05,000
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	

(ii) State Governments	8,09,24,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(b) Banks :		(i) State Governments	29,96,43,000
(i) Scheduled Banks	101,68,03,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	13,22,58,000
(ii) State Co-operative Banks	2,45,42,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks [‡]
(iii) Other Banks	2,19,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures.	5,46,68,000
(c) Others	191,07,45,000	Loans & Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—	
Bills Payable	23,76,97,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks ..	
Other Liabilities	37,60,43,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
Rupees	627,84,54,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	2,17,34,000
		(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
		Other Assets	32,31,54,000
		Rupees	627,84,54,000

*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17(4) (c) of the R.B.I. Act.

‡Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 19th day of October 1965.

An account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 15th day of October, 1965.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	30,27,09,000	Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	262,4,66,67,000	(a) Held in India	133,75,66,000	
		(b) Held outside India		
Total Notes issued	2654,93,76,000	Foreign Securities	70,63,24,000	
		TOTAL	204,38,90,000	
		Rupee Coin	103,10,57,000	
		Government of India Rupee Securities	2347,44,29,000	
		Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper		
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2654,93,76,000	TOTAL ASSETS	2654,93,76,000	

Dated the 19th day of October, 1965.

P. C. BHATTACHARYYA,
Governor.

[No. F.3(2)-BC-/65]

R. K. SESHADRI,
Director (Banking).

(Department of Company Affairs and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 18th October, 1965

S.O. 3422.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 609 of the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956), the Central Government hereby directs that there shall be an office at Shillong for the registration of companies under the said Act in the State of Nagaland and hereby appoints the Registrar of Companies, Assam, Manipur and Tripura as *ex-officio* Registrar of Companies for registration of companies in the said State.

2. This notification shall come into force on the 15th day of October, 1965.

[No. F. 2(35)-Admn. II/63.]

K. C. CHAND, Under Secy.

विल मन्त्रालय

(समवाय तथा बीमा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 अक्टूबर 1965

एस. ओ. 3423.—समवाय अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 1) की धारा 609 की उपधारा (1) और (2) के द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार आदेश करती है कि नागालैण्ड राज्य में कंपनियों के उसी अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत पंजीयन के लिए शिलांग में एक कार्यालय होगा और साथ ही वह ग्रसम, मनीपुर और त्रिपुरा के समवाय पंजीयन को उस राज्य में कंपनियों के पंजीयन के लिए पदेन समवाय पंजीयक नियुक्त करती है।

[स एक 2(35) ए औ एम II/63]

के० सी० चान्द,

अधर सचिव, भारत सरकार।

(Department of Company Affairs & Insurance)

New Delhi, the 19th October 1965

S.O.3424 In pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 10 of the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Act, 1962 (62 of 1962) read with paragraph 23 of the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Scheme, the Central Government hereby publishes, as follows, an account of the sums received into and paid out of the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Fund during the year ending with the 31st March, 1965:—

Account of the sums received into and paid out of the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Fund during the year ending with the 31st March, 1965:

RECEIPTS	Amount				EXPENDITURE			
	Rs.		P.		Rs.		P.	
	Progress of receipts upto the 31st March, 1965				Progress of expenditure upto the 31st March, 1965			
1. Insurance Premium . . .	96,00,000.00		9,50,61,000.00		1. Remuneration and expenses of Government Agent, and cost of forms.	10,12,954.10		10,12,954.10
2. Advance from Consolidated Fund of India under section 10(3).		2. Payment of liabilities under the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Scheme and remuneration & expenses of Loss Assessors appointed under the Scheme.
3. Miscellaneous		3. Refund of premiums under section 13 or under paragraph 17 of the scheme.
					4. Repayments of advances made under section 10(3).
					5. Other Miscellaneous expenditure (showing details if necessary)
					6. Sums disposed of in accordance with section 10(4)
	96,00,000.00		9,50,61,000.00			10,12,954.10		10,12,954.10

[No. 104(1)-INS. 1/65 ERI I]

S.O.3425 In pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Act, 1962 (63 of 1962), read with paragraph 21 of the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Scheme, the Central Government hereby publishes, as follows, an account of the sums received into and paid out of the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Fund during the year ending with the 31st March, 1965 :

Account of sums received into and paid out of the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Fund during the year ending with the 31st March, 1965:

RECEIPTS				EXPENDITURE			
	Amount	Progress of receipts upto the 31st March, 1965			Amount	Progress of expenditure upto the 31st March, 1965	
	Rs. P.	Rs. P.			Rs. P.	Rs. nP.	
1 Insurance premium	1,06,00,000.00	18,46,00,000.00		1. Remuneration and expenses of Government Agent and cost of forms.	10,12,954.10	10,12,954.10	
2 Advance from Consolidated Fund of India under section 7(3)		2. Payment of liabilities under the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Scheme and remuneration and expenses of Loss Assessors appointed under the Scheme.	
3 Miscellaneous receipts.		3. Payment under Section 10 where a factory is required to be removed to and reconstructed in another locality.	
				4. Refund of premium under Section 16 or under paragraph 15 of the Scheme.	
				5. Repayments of advances made under section 7(3).	
				6. Miscellaneous expenditure (showing details if necessary).	
				7. Sums disposed of in accordance with Section 7(4).	
	1,06,00,000.00	18,46,00,000.00			10,12,954.10	10,12,954.10	

S.O. 3426.—In pursuance of sub-paragraph (3) of paragraph 19 of the Emergency Risks (Goods) Insurance Scheme, the Central Government recognises—

1. Shri J. C. Bhandari, Sir Gopal Dass Road, Amritsar;
2. Shri Vijaya K. Jain, Johri Niwas, Katra Mohar Singh, Amritsar;

as “loss assessors” for the purposes of that paragraph in respect of any claim which does not exceed Rs. 1,000/-.

[No. F.112(1)-INS.I/65-ERI(I).]

S.O. 3427.—In pursuance of sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 18 of the Emergency Risks (Factories) Insurance Scheme, the Central Government hereby recognises—

1. Shri J. C. Bhandari, Sir Gopal Dass Road, Amritsar;
2. Shri Vijaya K. Jain, Johri Niwas, Katra Mohar Singh, Amritsar;

as “loss assessors” for the purposes of that paragraph in respect of any claim which does not exceed Rs. 1,000/-.

[No. F. 112(1)-INS. I/65-ERI II.]

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965.

S.O. 3428.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Rules, 1956, the Central Government hereby accepts the resignation of Shri M. V. Rangachari from the membership of the Life Insurance Corporation of India with immediate effect.

2. In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri E. N. Adarkar, Deputy Governor of the Reserve Bank of India to be a member of the Life Insurance Corporation of India upto 31st August, 1966.

[No. 1(3)-INS(II)/64.]

S. S. SHARMA, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3429.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (6) of section 88 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies St. Paul's Cathedral, Ambala (Punjab), to be a place of public worship of renown throughout the State of Punjab for the purpose of the said Section.

[No. 101—F. No. 16/57/65-IT(AI).]

G. R. DESAI, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

INCOME-TAX ESTABLISHMENTS

New Delhi, the 30th October 1965

S.O. 3430.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (ii) of Rule 2 of the Appellate Tribunal Rules, 1946, the Central Government has been pleased to appoint Shri G. G. A. Naidu, Income-tax Officer, Class II, Bombay City, as Junior Authorised Representative, Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Bombay with effect from the forenoon of 11th October 1965 to appear, plead and act for any Income-tax authority who is a party to any proceedings before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal.

[No. 301.]

S.O. 3431.—Consequent on his posting as Income-tax Officer, Bombay City, the powers conferred on Shri D. V. Narayananachar by the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification No. 33-Income-tax Establishments, dated

the 17th May 1963 are hereby withdrawn with effect from the forenoon of 11th October, 1965.

[No. 302.]

M. G. THOMAS, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

ORDER

STAMPS

New Delhi, the 30th October 1965

S.O. 3432.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the receipts obtained against the payment of annuities made under the Annuity Deposit Scheme, 1964 are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 21/65-Stamps/F. No. 1/59/65-Cus. VII.]

M. G. VAIDYA, Under Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3433.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (1) of section 36 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby specifies the following conditions for the deduction of contributions, not being annual contributions of fixed amounts or annual contributions fixed on some definite basis by reference to the income chargeable under the head 'Salaries' or to the contributions or to the number of members of the fund, namely:—

1. The total amount of contribution that shall be taken into account for the purposes of this notification shall not exceed twentyfive per cent. of the employee's salary for each year of his past service with the employer as reduced by the employer's contribution, if any, to any provident fund (whether recognised or not) in respect of that employee for each such year.

2. Subject to condition 1, eighty per cent. of the amount actually paid by the employer by way of contribution during any previous year shall be the deductible allowance.

3. One-fifth of such deductible allowance shall be allowed in the assessment year relating to the previous year in which the amount was actually paid and the balance of the deductible allowance shall be allowed in equal instalments for each of the four immediately succeeding assessment years.

[No. 100(F. No. 44A/8/65-ITJ).]

JAGDISH CHAND, Secy.

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 29th October 1965

S.O. 3434.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 121 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that in its Notification No. 20 (F. No. 55/1/62-IT) dated the 30th April, 1963 published as S.O. 1293 on pages 1454-1457 of the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 11th May 1963 as amended from time to time:

Against S. No. 15A Uttar Pradesh II, Lucknow under column 3 of the Schedule appended thereto, the following shall be added:

32. Special Circle, Agra.

This notification shall take effect from the 1st November, 1965.

[No. 102 (F. No. 55/330/65-IT).]

G. M. KULKARNI, Under Secy.

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 30th October 1965

S.O. 3435.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following further amendment in the Schedule appended to its Notification S.O. 1621 (No. 31 Income-tax dated 11th May 1964) dated 16th May, 1964, namely:—

In the said Schedule against Agra Range, under column 2, the following shall be added, namely:—

6. Special Circle, Agra.

This notification shall take effect from 1st November, 1965.

Explanatory Note

The amendment has become necessary on account of creation of a new circle known as Special Circle, Agra, in the Commissioner's charge.

(This note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 103(F. No. 50/67/65-ITJ).]

T. N. PANDEY, Under Secy.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE: MADRAS

CENTRAL EXCISE

Madras, the 25th October 1965

S.O. 3436.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 50 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, the undersigned directs that no manufacturer of excisable goods specified in the table below in the Madras Central Excise Collectorate shall remove from his licensed premises the non-excisable goods produced in such premises or the intermediate or residual products obtained in the factories as shown against each commodity in the said table, without the permission of the Central Excise Officer in charge of the factory.

2. The manufacturer desiring to remove any such non-excisable products should present a gate-pass in duplicate sufficiently in advance before the removal of the products from the factory to the Central Excise Officer in charge of the factory, who will after necessary verification countersign the gate pass and allow clearance.

TABLE

Tariff Item No.	Name of excisable commodity	Name of non-excisable goods, intermediate and Residual products
1	2	3
33A.	Wireless Receiving Sets, All sorts, including transistor sets and Radiograms with or without Loudspeaker.	Empty cabinets for radios or Radiograms.
37-A.	Gramophones, including Record players, whether mechanically or electrically driven and with Acoustic, electronic or transistorised systems of reproduction or amplification, and parts and accessories thereof, and Gramophone Records, all sorts.	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="flex: 1;"> <p>Amplifiers exceeding 5 watts of all kinds.</p> <p>2. "Tape play backs" or "Tape Recorders".</p> </div> <div style="flex: 1; text-align: right;"> <p>[No. V(33A)20/3/65CE(Pol.)]</p> <p>A. K. ROY, Collector.</p> </div> </div>

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3437.—The Central Government, having considered in consultation with the Forward Markets Commission, the application for renewal of recognition made

under section 5 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (74 of 1952) by The Indian Exchange Limited, Amritsar, and being satisfied that it would be in the interest of the trade and also in the public interest so to do, hereby grants, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the said Act, recognition to the said Exchange for a further period of one year from the 11th November, 1965 upto the 10th November, 1966, (both days inclusive) in respect of forward contracts in cottonseed.

2. The recognition hereby granted is subject to the condition that the said Exchange shall comply with such directions as may from time to time be given by the Forward Markets Commission.

[No. 34(9)-Com.(Genl)(FMC)/64.]

S.O. 3438.—The Central Government having considered in consultation with the Forward Markets Commission, the application for recognition made under section 5 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (74 of 1952) by The Central India Cotton Association Limited, Chhota Sarafa, Ujjain (Madhya Pradesh), and being satisfied that it would be in the interest of the trade and also in the public interest so to do, hereby grants, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the said Act, recognition to the said Association for a period of three years ending the 29th October, 1968 in respect of forward contracts in groundnut oil.

2. The recognition hereby granted is subject to the condition that the said Association shall comply with such directions as may from time to time be given by the Forward Markets Commission.

[No. 34(14)-Com. (Genl)(FMC)/62.]

M. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3439.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Textiles Committee Act, 1963 (No. 41 of 1963), read with rule 15(1) of the Textiles Committee Rules, 1965, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. R. Ramachandran, Appellate Collector of Customs, Madras, as Secretary, Textiles Committee, Bombay, with effect from the afternoon of the 28th July, 1965.

[No. 25(17)-Tex(A)/64.]

DAULAT RAM, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD & AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture)

(Economic Policy Section)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3440.—In the notifications issued by the Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Government of India bearing S.O. Number 2700 dated the 26th August, 1965 and S.O. Number 2786 dated the 1st September, 1965, the following amendments are made with immediate effect:

(a) In para. 1, after the words "prices, stocks and transport of" delete the words "grass and hay" and substitute the words "Cattle fodder of any of the varieties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed"; and

(b) after para. 2, add the following:—

"The SCHEDULE

1. Grass.
2. Hay.
3. Wheat straw (Bhoosa).
4. Paddy straw.
5. Karbi"

[No. 1-10/65-Econ. Py.]

A. C. JAIN, Under Secy.

(Department of Agriculture)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

New Delhi, the 29th October 1965

S.O. 3441.—In pursuance of the appropriate provision of the Indian Cotton Cess Act, 1923 (14 of 1923), the Central Government are pleased to appoint the following persons to be members of the Indian Central Cotton Committee, Bombay upto the 30th November, 1965, or upto the finalisation of the re-organisation proposals of the Committee, whichever is earlier:—

S. No.	Name and address	Section
1.	Shri Madanmohan R. Ruia C/o M/s. Ramnarain sons Pvt. Ltd., Bombay-1.	4(iv)
2.	Shri Davalibhai Govindbhai Patel of Delad, Taluka Oplad, Distt. Surat.	4(viii)
3.	Shri Mallaraj Urs, Director of Agriculture, Mysore.	4(ix)
4.	Shri R. M. Deshmukh, Morshi Road, Amravati.	
5.	Shri Neville N. Wadia, Bombay Dyeing and Manufacturing Co., Bombay.	
6.	Shri R. G. Saralya, Narandas Rajaram & Co., Outram Road, Fort Bombay-1.	
7.	Shri Chunilal B. Mehta, Chunilal B. Mehta & Co., Yusuf Building, 43, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bombay-1.	
8.	Shri R. D. Mehra, Bungalow No. 1, Road No. 56, Punjab Bagh, New Delhi-34.	
9.	Shri B. Doraiswamy, Textile Commissioner, Bombay-1.	
10.	Shri M. B. Soman, Advocate, Akola.	
11.	The Economic and Statistical Adviser to the Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi.	
12.	Shri Chimanlal B. Parikh, 28 Apollo Street, Fort, Bombay-1.	
13.	Shri R. D. Shah, Cotton Adviser, Office of the Textile Commissioner, Bombay.	4(x)
14.	The Joint Secretary (Finance), Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi.	
15.	Sardar Ujjal Singh, 12 Curzon Road, New Delhi.	
16.	Sardar Satwant Singh, Nasirpur Farm, Bahadurgarh Fort, Patiala, Punjab.	
17.	Shri S. S. Puri, Secretary, National Co-operative Development Corporation, C-56, South Extension Part. II, New Delhi-16.	
18.	Shri Jehangir P. Patel, Patel Volkart Pvt. Ltd., Bombay-1.	
19.	Shri D. V. Lele, Member, Khadi & Village Industries Commission, Gramodaya Nile Road, Vile Parle (West), Bombay-56.	
20.	Shri S. D. Patil, Chalisgaon, Maharashtra.	
21.	Shri Giani Zail Singh, M.L.A., 31. M.L.A.'s Quarters, Chandigarh.	
22.	Shri N. R. M. Sway, Ranipeth, Madras.	

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 19th October 1965

S.O. 3442.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Haldia Port in Calcutta in West Bengal State, pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto,

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this Notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority, 9, Syed Amir Ali Avenue, Calcutta-17 in the office of the Indian Oil Corporation Limited. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

ADDENDUM

State :—West Bengal

Distt.—Howrah

Thana:— Jagachha

Village	Survey Nos. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)
UNSANI	4008	.06
J.L. No. 10	4728	.06

[No. 31(33)/63-ONG/OR-Vol. 26.]

S.O. 3443.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Haldia Port in Calcutta in West Bengal State, pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto,

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this Notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority, 9, Syed Amir Ali Avenue, Calcutta-17 in the office of the Indian Oil Corporation Limited. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

ADDENDUM

State —West Bengal

Distt. :—Howrah

Thana Sankrail

Village	Survey Nos. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)
ARGARI J. L. No. 27	78	.02
PANCHPARA J. L. No. 37	911 1028	.005 .06

[No. 31(33)/63-ONG/OR-Vol. 26.]

V. P. AGARWAL, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

New Delhi, the 18th October 1965

S.O. 3444.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (54 of 1948) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Irrigation and Power No. EL.II-28(2)/64, dated the 24th February, 1965, the Central Government hereby constitutes, until further orders, the Central Electricity Authority, consisting of the following members, namely:—

1. Shri K. L. Vij, Vice Chairman, CW&PC, New Delhi.
2. Shri P. N. Jain, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Finance (Dept. of Expenditure), New Delhi.
3. Shri S. S. Kumar, Chairman and Managing Director, NPCC, New Delhi.
4. Shri R. R. Desai, Additional Legal Adviser, Ministry of Law, New Delhi.
5. Shri B. C. Gangopadhyay, Director, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi, and appoints .

Shri K. L. Vij as Chairman of the said Authority.

[No. EL.II-28(13)/65.]

V. NANJAPPA, Secy.

सिचाई व बिजली मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 1st अक्टूबर, 1965

एस ओ 3445—बिजली संभरण एक्ट, 1948 (1948 का 54) की प्रारंभिक संस्करण के अन्तर्गत सौंपे गये अधिकारों की परिपालना में और भारत सरकार, सिचाई व बिजली मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या ई० एल० 2-28(2) 64, दिनांक 24 फरवरी, 1965 के अधिसंघन में, भारत सरकार द्वारा, जब तक और आदेश जारी नहीं किये जाते, केन्द्रीय बिजली प्राधिकार की स्थापना करती है। इस में निम्नलिखित सदस्य सम्मिलित होंगे :—

1. श्री के० एल० विज, उप अध्यक्ष, केन्द्रीय जल तथा विद्युत् आयोग, नई दिल्ली।
2. श्री पी० एन० जैन, संयुक्त सचिव, वित्त मंत्रालय (व्यय विभाग), नई दिल्ली।
3. श्री एस० एस० कुमार, अध्यक्ष व प्रबन्ध निदेशक, राष्ट्रीय परियोजना, निर्माण निगम, नई दिल्ली।
4. श्री आर० आर० डिसाई, संयुक्त विधि समाहिकार, विधि मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली।
5. श्री बी० सी० गंगोपाध्याय, निदेशक, सिचाई व बिजली मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली।

श्री के० एल० विज इस प्राधिकार के अध्यक्ष होंगे।

[संख्या ई० एल० 2-28(13)/65]

वि० नंजपा,

सचिव, भारत सरकार।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND SUPPLY

(Department of Industry)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 29th October 1965

S.O. 3446/IDRA/18G/65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18G of the Industries (Department and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central

Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Cement Control Order, 1961, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cement Control (Twelfth Amendment) Order, 1965.
2. In the Schedule to the Cement Control Order 1961, in the Table below paragraph (C) for the entry against Serial No. 3, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

TABLE

Name of Producer	Extra amount per tonne.	Date from which the additional amount may be charged.
Rs.		
“3 M/s. Surashura Cement & Chemical Industries Ltd., Ranavay.	5.05	6th September, 1963,
	5.07	1st April, 1964.
	3.85	1st July, 1964.
	4.77	18th February, 1965.
	5.00	1st April, 1965.
	5.24	1st June, 1965”

[No. 8-34/62-CEM.II.]

New Delhi, the 30th October 1965

S.O. 3447/IDRA/18G/65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18G of the Industries (Department and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Cement Control Order, 1961, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cement Control (Thirteenth Amendment) Order, 1965.
2. In the Schedule to the Cement Control Order, 1961, in the Table below paragraph (C), the entry against Serial No. 1 shall be amended as follows, namely:—

TABLE

Name of Producer	Extra amount per tonne.	Date from which the additional amount may be charged.
“1. M/s. India Cement Ltd., Madras.		
Talaiyuthu Works	Rs. 9.75	20th August, 1965.
Sankaridrug Works	Rs. 15.90	20th August, 1965.”

[No. 8-29/63-CEM.II.]

R. NATARAJAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Industry)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3448.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established during the quarter ending 30th September 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

S1.	No. of Indian Standard No.	Title of Indian Standard
1	IS:101-1964	Methods of test for ready mixed paints and enamels (<i>second revision</i>).
2	IS:117-1964	Specification for ready mixed paint, brushing, finishing, exterior, semi-gloss, for general purpose, to Indian Standard colours (<i>revised</i>).
3	IS:296-1965	Specification for sodium carbonate, anhydrous (<i>revised</i>).
4	IS:367-1965	Specification for electric kettles (<i>revised</i>).
5	IS:384-1964	Specification for brushes, paints and varnishes, flat (<i>second revision</i>).
6	IS:431-1964	Specification for paint remover, solvent type, flammable (<i>revised</i>).
7	IS:434(Part I)-1964	Specification for rubber-insulated cables Part I with copper conductors (<i>revised</i>).
8	IS:434(Part II)-1964	Specification for rubber-insulated cables Part II with aluminium conductors (<i>revised</i>).
9	IS:436(Part I)-1964	Methods for sampling of coal and coke Part I sampling of coal (<i>revised</i>).
10	IS:508-1965	Specification for grease, graphited (<i>revised</i>).
11	IS:584-1964	Specification for chaplis, frontier pattern, for general purposes (<i>revised</i>).
12	IS:635-1964	Specification for oil and solvent resisting hose of rubber with woven reinforcement (<i>revised</i>).
13	IS:778-1964	Specification for gunmetal gate, globe and check valves for water, steam and oil only (not intended for use in petroleum industry) (<i>revised</i>).
14	IS:792-1964	Specification for title-page and back of title-page of a book (<i>revised</i>).
15	IS:808-1964	Specification for rolled steel beam, channel and angle sections (<i>revised</i>).
16	IS:853-1964	Specification for bone-meal, raw (<i>revised</i>).
17	IS:875-1964	Code of practice for structural safety of buildings loading standards (<i>revised</i>).
18	IS:919-1963	Recommendations for limits and fits for engineering (<i>revised</i>).
19	IS:1056-1965	Specification for commercial metric weights (<i>revised</i>).
20	IS:1113-1965	Specification for ammonium chloride, technical and pure (<i>revised</i>).
21	IS:1183-1965	Specification for density hydrometers for use in milk (<i>revised</i>).
22	IS:1200-1964	Method of measurement of building works (<i>revised</i>).
23	IS:1238-1964	Specification for hurricane lanterns (<i>revised</i>).
24	IS:1356-1964	General requirements for electrical equipment of machine tools (<i>revised</i>).
25	IS:1448 (Part III)-1963	Methods of test for petroleum and its products, Part III.
26	IS:1448 (Part IV)-1964	Methods of tests for petroleum and its products Part IV.
27	IS:1554 (Part I)-1964	Specification for PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables Part I for working voltages up to and including 1 100 volts (<i>revised</i>).
28	IS:1885 (Part V)-1965	Electrotechnical vocabulary Part V quarts rystals.
29	IS:2032 (Part IV)-1964	Graphical symbols used in electrotechnology Part IV rotating machines and transformers.
30	IS:2106 (Part VI)-1965	Environmental tests for electronic equipment Part VI Rapid change of temperature.
31	IS:2106 (Part X)-1965	Environmental tests for electronic equipment Part X Water immersion test.
32	IS:2106 (Part XI)-1965	Environmental tests for electronic equipment Part XI Water spray test.
33	IS:2428-1964	Application of carbides for machining, ranges of application and colour code.
34	IS:2458-1965	Glossary of terms for toothed gearing.
35	IS:2542 (Part II)-1964	Methods of test for gypsum plaster, concrete and products Part II gypsum products.
36	IS:2600-1964	Methods of chemical analysis of high purity zinc and zinc base alloys for die casting.
37	IS:2712-1965	Specification for compressed asbestos fibre jointing.

Sl. No. of Indian Standard No.	Title of Indian Standard
38 IS : 2720 (Part VII)-1965	Methods of test for soils Part VII determination of moisture content—dry density relation using light compaction.
39 IS : 2720 (Part VIII)-1965	Methods of test for soils Part VIII determination of moisture content—dry density relation using heavy compaction.
40 IS : 2720 (Part XV)-1965	Methods of test for soils Part XV determination of consolidation properties.
41 IS : 2741-1964	Specification for B Sigh/d-naphthol.
42 IS : 2743-1964	Test chart for horizontal surface grinders.
43 IS : 2788-1964	Specification for gas mantles.
44 IS : 2799-1964	Specification for mustard whole for use as condiment.
45 IS : 2808-1964	Method for computation of capacity tables for pressurized storage tanks.
46 IS : 2810-1964	Glossary of terms and symbols relating to soil dynamics.
47 IS : 2834-1964	Specification for shunt capacitors for power systems.
48 IS : 2838-1964	Specification for stoneware containers for general purposes.
49 IS : 2839-1964	Specification for industrial stoneware.
50 IS : 2840-1965	Specification for china clay for ceramic industry.
51 IS : 2843-1964	Recommendation on nominal capacities for process equipment.
52 IS : 2844-1964	Recommendation on nominal diameters for process equipment.
53 IS : 2854-1964	Method of test for determining modulus of elasticity.
54 IS : 2876-1964	Specification for 3-Jaw self-centering lathe chucks.
55 IS : 2877-1964	Test chart for single and double column planing machines.
56 IS : 2892-1964	Specification for wooden handles for picks and beaters.
57 IS : 2894-1965	Specification for wooden handles for wood working chisels and gouges.
58 IS : 2897-1965	Specification for Wooden handles for shovels.
59 IS : 2911 (Part I)-1964.	Code of practice for design and construction of piles foundations Part I load-bearing concrete piles.
60 IS : 2911 (Part II)-1965.	Code of practices for design and construction of pile foundations Part II timber piles.
61 IS : 2914-1964	Recommendations for estimation of discharges by establishing Stage-discharge relation in open channels.
62 IS : 2927-1964	Specification for brazing alloys.
63 IS : 2938-1964	Method of grading raw silk.
64 IS : 2939-1964	Methods for visual and tactial examination of raw silk.
65 IS : 2940-1964	Method of determination of specific weight of raw silk.
66 IS : 2941-1964	Methods for conducting winding test for raw silk.
67 IS : 2942-1964	Methods for determination of size (count) deviation and maximum deviation of raw silk.
68 IS : 2943-1964	Method for determination of conditioned size (count) of raw silk.
69 IS : 2944-1964	Method of determination of evenness and low evenness of raw silk.
70 IS : 2945-1964	Methods for determination of clearness raw silk.
71 IS : 2946-1964	Methods for determination of neatness and low neatness of raw silk.
72 IS : 2947-1964	Method for determination of the tendency and elongation of raw silk by scrig aph test.
73 IS : 2948-1964	Method of determination of cohesion of raw silk.
74 IS : 2957-1964	Specification for sinnet mats.
75 IS : 2958-1964	Specification for corridor mats.
76 IS : 2959-1965	Specification for AC contactors of voltage not exceeding 1000-volts.
77 IS : 2972 (Part I)-1964.	Specification for textile motors Part I loom motors.
78 IS : 2972 (Part II)-1964.	Specification for textile Motors Part II card motors.
79 IS : 2980-1964	Specification for non-pressure stoves.
80 IS : 2982-1965	Specification for copper conductors in insulated cables and cords.
81 IS : 2991-1965	Specification for basic paper for waxed paper.
82 IS : 2994-1965	Specification for electric stoves.
83 IS : 3004-1964	Specification for plug cock for water supply purposes.
84 IS : 3007 (Part I)-1964.	Code of practice for laying of asbestos cement sheets Part I corrugated sheets.

Sl. No. of Indian Standard No.	Title of Indian Standard
85 IS : 3017-1965	Specification for thermostals for use with electric water heaters.
86 IS : 3020-1964	Specification for leathers for oil seals and washers.
87 IS : 3021-1965	Specification for bentonite for use in foundries.
88 IS : 3023-1965	Recommended practice for building-up by metal spraying.
89 IS : 3027-1964	Specification for zinc cyanide for electroplating.
90 IS : 3028-1965	Method of measurement of noise emitted by motor vehicles.
91 IS : 3029-1964	Specification for castorseed cake for fertilizer purposes.
92 IS : 3033-1965	Accuracy requirements for dispensing pumps used in petroleum trade.
93 IS : 3036-1965	Code of practice for laying lime concrete for a waterproofed roof finish.
94 IS : 3037-1965	Specification for bitumen mastic for use in waterproofing of roofs.
95 IS : 3039-1965	Specification for structural steel (shipbuilding quality).
96 IS : 3040-1965	Specification for Indian kapok for stuffing purposes.
97 IS : 3049-1965	Specification for vulcanized fibre slings for spinning mills
98 IS : 3051-1965	Dimensions for wrought copper and copper alloys plate.
99 IS : 3052-1964	Dimension for wrought copper and copper alloys, sheet, strip and foil.
100 IS : 3053-1965	Specification for cane baskets for general purposes.
101 IS : 3056-1965	Specification for lap rods.
102 IS : 3057-1965	Specification for copper sheets for photo process engravings.
103 IS : 3059-1965	Code for the transport of monkeys by air.
104 IS : 3060-1965	Specification for pork sausages, canned
105 IS : 3061-1965	Specification for pork sausages, fresh.
106 IS : 3065-1965	Specification for cutting oil, sulphurized, for ferrous metals.
107 IS : 3066-1965	Specification for hot asphalt mixing plants.
108 IS : 3071-1965	Specification for wooden crates.
109 IS : 3072 (Part I)-1965	Code of practice for installation and maintenance of switchgear
Part I switchgear (system voltage not exceeding 1000 volts).	
110 IS : 3074-1965	Specification for steel tubes for automotive purposes.
111 IS : 3076 (Part I)-1965	Specification for low density polythene pipes for cold water services.
Part I up to 50 mm size.	
112 IS : 3078-1965	Specification for rings for spinning frame.
113 IS : 3080-1965	Test chart for universal tool and cutter grinders.
114 IS : 3081-1965	Dimensions and marking of general purposes microscopes.
115 IS : 3085-1965	Method of test for permeability of cement mortar and concrete.
116 IS : 3086-1965	Code for seaworthy packing of cotton hosiery yarn and goods.
117 IS : 3087-1965	Specification for wood particle boards (medium density) for general purposes.
118 IS : 3090-1965	Code of practice for installation and maintenance of rolling bearings.
119 IS : 3098-1965	Specification for oil, hydraulic, mineral oil type.
120 IS : 3099-1965	Specification for slides and cover slips for microscopes.
121 IS : 3100-1965	Specification for men's wool-cotton short drawers.
122 IS : 3101-1965	Specification for collapsible tubes.
123 IS : 3107-1965	Specification for portable multipurpose electrical indicating instruments.
124 IS : 3108-1965	Specification for pruning saw, straight and curved.
125 IS : 3111-1965	Specification for silver thread.
126 IS : 3112-1965	Specification for gold and silver embroidery materials.
127 IS : 3113-1965	Specification for prismatic binoculars for common use.
128 IS : 3115-1965	Specification for lime-cement-cinder solid blocks.
129 IS : 3117-1965	Specification for bitumen emulsion for roads (anionic type).
130 IS : 3118-1965	Specification for electric bacteriological incubators.
131 IS : 3119-1965	Specification for hot air sterilizers.
132 IS : 3120-1965	Specification for baby incubators.
133 IS : 3123-1965	Specification for hydroxycitronellal.
134 IS : 3124-1965	Specification for terpineol.
135 IS : 3130-1965	Code of practice for storage and use of microfilms of permanent value.
136 IS : 3131-1965	Specification for musk ambrette.

Sl. No.	No. of Indian Standard	Title of Indian Standard
137	IS : 3132-1965	Recommendations for shaft diameters for chemical equipment.
138	IS : 3133-1965	Specification for manhole and inspection openings for chemical equipment.
139	IS : 3135-1965	Specification for cathetometer.
140	IS : 3141-1965	Specification for starters for automobiles.
141	IS : 3151-1965	Specification for earthing transformers.
142	IS : 3154-1965	Specification for X-ray tubes, diagnostic type.
143	IS : 3156 (Part II)-1965	Specification for voltage transformers Part II measuring voltage transformers.
144	IS : 3161-1965	Specification for gram <i>chuni</i> .
145	IS : 3163-1965	Specification for rice polish.
146	IS : 3166-1965	Working widths and reed spaces of plain calico looms.

[No. MD/13 : 3]

S.O. 3449—In pursuance of the Provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the standards, particulars of which are given in the schedule hereto annexed, have been recognized by the Institution as Indian Standards during the quarter ending 30 September 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No., Title and Name of Organization which Prepared and Established the Standard	No. and Title of the Recognized Standard
1.	Japan Industrial Standard—Enamelware for home use—S 3012—1958 Japan Standard Association Incorporated City of Tokyo	IS : 3149—1965 Specification for enamelware for home use.
2.	B. S. 1485 : 1948 Specification for galvanized wire netting British Standards Institution, London, W. I.	IS : 3150—1965 Specification for galvanized wire netting.
3.	B. S. 3034—1963 Specification for metallic slide fasteners British Standards Institution, London, W. I.	IS : 3184—1965 Specification for metallic slide fasteners.

[No. MD/13:3/A]

S.O. 3450—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 & 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the period 9 to 15 October 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS : 359—1965 Specification for electric radiators (revised).	IS : 369—1952 Specification for electric radiators for domestic use (tentative).	This standard covers the requirements and methods of tests for electrically heated radiators designed for connection to supplies at voltages not exceeding 250 V AC single phase 50 c/s or DC (Price Rs. 3.00).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2. IS : 441—1964 Specification for water hose of rubber low pressure with woven reinforcement (revised).	IS : 444—1953 Specification for water delivery hose.		This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for low pressure water hose of rubber with woven fabric reinforcement and built on mandrels. (Price Rs. 2.00)
3. IS : 445—1964 Specification for the hose of rubber, light duty, with woven reinforcement (revised).	IS : 446—1953 Specification for the hose for pneumatic tools.		This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for light duty air hose of rubber, with woven fabric reinforcement for compressed air including pneumatic tool service. This hose is built on mandrels. (Price Rs. 1.50).
4. IS : 447—1964 Specification for welding and cutting hose of rubber with woven reinforcement (revised).	IS : 447—1953 Specification for welding hose, oxy-acetylene.		This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for welding and cutting hose of rubber with woven fabric reinforcement and built on mandrels. (Price Rs. 1.50).
5. IS : 552—1965 Specification for smith bits (revised).	IS : 552—1954 Specification for smith bits.		This standard covers the requirements for the following four types of smith bits: (a) Flat, (b) Countersunk rose, (c) Reamer half round, and (d) Reamer square. (Price Rs. 2.00).
IS : 1342—1964 Specification for oil pressure stoves (revised).	IS : 1342—1959 Specification for oil pressure stoves.		This standard covers the requirements for two types of oil pressure stoves, intended primarily for domestic use burning pressurized kerosine under a normal working pressure of 1 to 2 kgf/cm ² . (Price Rs. 4.50).
7. IS : 2895—1965 Specification for wooden handles for engineers' files.	..		This standard covers the requirements of wooden handles for engineers' files. (Price Re. 1.00).
IS : 3019—1965 Specification for high speed steel single point turning tools.	..		This standard covers the requirements for single point tools made from high speed steel, for external or internal turning. (Price Rs. 3.50).
9. IS : 3058—1965 Code of practice for fire safety of industrial buildings: viscose rayon yarn and/or staple fibre plants.	..		This standard lays down the essential requirements for fire safety of plants manufacturing viscose rayon yarn or staple fibre or both. (Price Rs. 2.50).
10. IS : 3052—1965 Specification for rocker sprayer.	..		This standard prescribes the materials of construction, performance requirements, and the methods of testing the performance of the rocker sprayer used for spraying pesticides. (Price Rs. 3.50).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
11. IS : 3097-1965 Specification for veneered particle boards.	..	This standard covers the requirements for veneered boards of solid-core as well as tubular-core types. (Price Rs 2.50).	
12. IS : 3122-1965 Classification of bricks.	..	This standard covers the classification of solid burnt clay building bricks. (Price Re. 1.00).	
13. IS : 3110-1965 Specification for silver leaf.	..	This specification covers the requirements of silver leaf used for edible purposes. (Price Re. 1.00).	
14. IS : 3125-1965 Specification for seeds of capsicum.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for seeds of capsicum (<i>Capsicum frutescens L.</i> / <i>Capsicum annuum L.</i>) (Price Re. 1.00).	
15. IS : 3126-1965—Specification for seeds of cucumber.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for seeds of cucumber, <i>KHIRA</i> (<i>Cucumis sativus L.</i>) and <i>KAKRI</i> (<i>Cucumis melo L.</i> var. <i>utilissimus</i> Duthie & Fuller). (Price Re. 1.00).	
16. IS : 3143-1965 Specification for cotton ropes for power transmission	..	This standard specifies the requirements of cotton ropes for power transmission. (Price Rs. 2.50)	
17. IS : 3152-1965 Specification for needle files	..	This standard specifies the general requirements and methods of tests for needle files. (Price Rs. 2.50).	
18. IS : 3153-1965 Specification for thresher, <i>olpad</i> type.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for the <i>Olpad</i> type thresher drawn generally by a pair of bullocks over harvested crop spread uniformly on a threshing floor, for separating grains and for forming <i>BHUSA</i> (chaff). (Price Rs. 1.50).	
19. IS : 3168-1965 Specification for brass strip and foil for deep drawing.	..	This standard covers the requirements of three grades of deep drawing brass strip and foil. (Price Rs. 1.50).	
20. IS : 3178-1965 Specification for abrasive emery grain.	..	This standard covers the requirements for abrasive emery grains or powders used for polishing purposes. (Price Re. 1.00).	

Copies of these Indian Standards are available, for sale, with the Indian Standards Institution Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi—1 and also its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, First Floor, 534 Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay—7, (ii) Third and Forth Floors, 5 Chawringhee Approach, Calcutta—13, (iii) Second Floor Sathyamurthi Bhavan, 54 General Patters Road, Madras—2 and (iv) 14/69 Civil Lines, Kanpur.

S.O. 3451.—In licence No. CM/L837, dated 23 November, 1964, held by M/s. Lucky Acid and Chemical Works, 32/2 Murari Pukar Road, Calcutta-4, the details of which were published under S.O. 79 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 2 January, 1965, two new varieties, namely Nitric Acid, Technical and Pure Grades have been added with effect from 1 September, 1965.

[MD/12:1541-A.]

S.O. 3452.—In licence No. CM/L-1045, dated 26 March, 1965, held by M/s. Lucky Acid and Chemical Works, 32/2 Murari Pukar Road, Calcutta-4, the details of which were published under S.O. 1406 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 1 May 1965 two new varieties, namely Hydrochloric Acid, Technical and Pure Grades have been added with effect from 1 September, 1965.

[MD/12:1541-A.]

S.O. 3453.—In licence No. CM/L-1057, dated 22 April, 1965, held by M/s. Lucky Acid and Chemical Works, 32/2 Murari Pukar Road, Calcutta-4, the details of which were published under S.O. 1592 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 22 May, 1965, two new varieties, namely Sulphuric Acid, Battery (Concentrated and Dilute) and Pure Grades have been added with effect from 1 September, 1965.

[MD/12:1541-A.]

S.O. 3454.—In pursuance of regulation 14 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification) Regulation 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution notifies that the Licence No. CM/L-661, particulars of which are given below, has been cancelled with effect from 11 October 1965:

Licence No. and Date	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered by the Licence Cancelled	Relevant Indian Standard
CM/L-661 1.5. 1964	M/S Hindustan Safety Glass works Private Ltd., Mahesh Mukherjee Feeder Road, Ariyadah, 24—Parganas having their office at 7, Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta-13.	Laminated safety glass and heat-treated safety glass for land transport.	IS:2553-1964 Specification for safety glass for land transport.

[No. MD/12:1299.]

ERRATA

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3455.—In the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Indian Standards Institution) Notifications published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3(ii), the following corrections may be made:

1. Gazette dated 28 August, 1965.
 - (a) S.O. 2670 dated 9 August 1965, Line 4—Insert the word 'indian' between the words 'relevant standards'
 - (b) S.O. 2671 dated 9 August, 1965, Schedule, S. No. 1, col. 2, line 1—for 'ron' read 'iron'
 - (c) S.O. 2673 dated 13 August, 1965, Schedule—
 - (1) S. No. 22, col. 2, line 1—for 'IS:944-1964' read 'IS:2944-1964'
 - (2) S. No. 27, col. 2, line 2, col. 4, lines 1, 2 and 5—for 'contractors' read 'contactors'
 - (3) S. No. 33, col. 2, line 3—for 'mental' read 'metal'
 - (d) S.O. 2674 dated 16 August, 1965, line 1—for 'Specification for B-twill Jute Bags (Revised)', read 'IS:2566-1965 Specification for B-twill Jute Bags (Revised)'

2. Gazette dated 4 September, 1965—

(a) S.O. 2727 dated 19 August, 1965—

(1) S. No. 1, col. 5, line 2—Insert the word 'of' before the word 'between'
 (2) S. No. 2, col. 3, lines 1 and 2—for 'S.O. dated 22 Feb., 1953' read 'S.O. 86 dated 22 February 1958'

(b) S.O. 2729 dated 25 August 1965—

(1) S. No. 1, col. 3 and 4, line 2—for 'chaplies read 'chaplis'
 (2) S. No. 6—(i) col. 2, line 1—for 'IS:839-1964' read 'IS:2839-1964'
 (ii) col. 4, line 3—for 'chemica' read 'chemical'

3. Gazette dated 11 September, 1965—

(a) S.O. 2821 dated 3 September, 1965—

(1) S. No. 4, col. 6—Insert '15 September, 1965'
 (2) S. No. 10, col. 5, line 8—for '(024 in)' read '(or 24 in)'
 (3) S. No. 11, col. 3, lines 1—for 'S.O. 100' read 'S.O. 1100'
 (4) S. No. 16, col. 2, line 1—for 'llits' read 'imits'
 (5) S. No. 17, col. 6—Insert '15 September, 1965'

[No. MD/13:2/A.]

D. V. KARMARKAR,
Jt. Director (Marks).

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3456.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1), read with clause (g) of sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 (61 of 1957), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri S. C. Chhabra, President, New Delhi Municipal Committee, as a member of the Delhi Development Authority in place of Shri Gajraj Singh, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health, No. 12-173/57-LSG, dated the 30th December, 1957, namely:—

In the said notification, in item 10, for the entry "Shri Gajraj Singh", the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri S. C. Chhabra."

[No. F. 10-7/62-LSG L]

A. P. MATHUR, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3457.—Whereas the Government of Madras have, in pursuance of clause (h) of section 3 of the Pharmacy Act, 1948 (8 of 1948), nominated Dr. M. N. Guruswamy, B.A., M.B.B.S., M.S. (Michigan), Professor of Pharmacology, Stanley Medical College, Madras-1, to represent that State on the Pharmacy Council of India with effect from the 29th August, 1964;

Now therefore in pursuance of section 3 of the said Act the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 7-23/59-D, dated the 21st December, 1959, namely;

In the said notification, under the heading "VI. Members nominated by State Governments under clause (h)", for the entry against Serial No. 6, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. M. N. Guruswamy, B.A., M.B.B.S., M.S. (Michigan) Professor of Pharmacology, Stanley Medical College, Madras-1."

[No. F. 6-26/84-MPT.]

B. B. L. BHARADWAJ, Under Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(Posts & Telegraphs Board)

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3458.—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (1) of rule 23 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Communications (Posts and Telegraphs) No. S.R.O. 620, dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, in Part II-General General Service, Class III, under the heading "Post Offices", against the posts of "Postmaster on time scale; Town Inspector of Post Offices; Ministerial staff in clerical grade; Overseer; Overseer Postmen; Sorting Reader postmen; Head Postmen; Departmental Branch Postmaster; Despatch Rider", to the entries in columns 3, 4 and 5, the following entries shall respectively be added at the end, namely:—

(3)	(4)	(5)
"Head Postmaster and Sub Postmaster in the higher selection grade (in his own office).	(i) Superintendent of Post Offices; Senior Superintendent of Post Offices."	

[No. 44/16/63-Disc]

D. K. AGARWAL,
Asstt. Registrar General

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3459.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. C. Roy to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Bihar for the purposes of the said Act and of any scheme framed thereunder, in relation to an establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government, or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a mine or an oil-field or any controlled industry.

[No. 20(90)/65-PF-L]

S.O. 3460.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. A. Ahmed to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Rajasthan for the purposes of the said Act and of any scheme framed thereunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the Control of the Central Government, or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a mine or an oil-field or a controlled industry.

[No. 20(91)/65-PF-L]

ORDER.

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3461.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, for a further period upto and including the 30th September, 1966 from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter V-A of the said Act, every factory—

(a) which is engaged:—

(i) exclusively in one or more of the manufacturing processes specified in column 1 of the Table annexed hereto or any other manufacturing process which is incidental to or connected with any of the aforesaid processes, and

(ii) partly in one or more of the manufacturing processes aforesaid and partly in one or more of the manufacturing processes specified in the definition of seasonal factory in clause (12) of section 2 of the said Act, and

(b) which is situated in any area specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 of the said Table subject to the condition, if any, specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 of the said Table.

THE TABLE

Name of the manufacturing process	Area where situated	Conditions
I	2	3
1. Redrying manufactured leaf tobacco	Whole of India except the State of Jammu & Kashmir.	
2. Rice Milling	Whole of India except the State of Jammu & Kashmir.	
3. Cold Storage	Do.	
4. Salt Manufacture	Do.	
5. Oil Mills	Do.	Provided that the process of oil milling is subsidiary to any other manufacturing process which is seasonal and so long as the number of employees engaged in oil milling is less than fifty.
6. Ice Manufacture	The States of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh and the Union Territories of Delhi and Himachal Pradesh.	

[No. 6/117/63-HL.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 19th October 1965

S.O. 3462.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Shri F. K. Mistry after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Bombay with effect from 19th December, 1965.

[No. F. 11/2/62-FC.]

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3463.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 9 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 9 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby re-appoints Smt. Kumudini Devi as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Calcutta with effect from 6th December, 1965.

[No. F. 11/3/62-FC.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 19th October 1965

S.O. 3464.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Marathi versions to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

(1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).

(2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).

(3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or documentary film.
I.	Nagrik Samrakshan	280 M	Shri Jagat Murari, Principal, Film Institute of India, Poona.		Film intended for educational purposes. (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only).

[No. F. 24/1/65-FP App. 1029.]

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3465.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

(1) Sub-section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).

(2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

(3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm.	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
I.	Gujaratna Saravaro	327.97M	Film Division, Govt. of India 24 Peddar Road, Bombay 26	WB. in Guja	Documentary film. (For release at Circuit only).

[No. F. 24/1/65-FP App. 1030.]

D. R. KHANNA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3466.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 41 of the Air Corporations Act, 1953 and in partial modification of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Civil Aviation No. 20-CA(28)/64 dated the 8th January, 1965, the Central Government, in consultation with Indian Airlines, hereby appoints the Director General of Tourism as a Member of the Advisory Committee for the Indian Airlines and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said notification, namely:—

In the said notification, after serial number 16 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

“17. Director General of Tourism”.

[No. 20-CA(4)/65.]

J. N. GOYAL, Jt. Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 20th October 1965

S.O. 3467.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 7th October, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT 88 OF 1964

Employers in relation to The Bombay Port Trust, Bombay,

AND

(i) The Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, Bombay,
(ii) The Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union, Bombay.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presidng Officer.

For the Bombay Port Trust—Shri M. R. S. Captain, Legal Adviser, with
Shri R. K. Shetty, Dy. Legal Adviser.

For the Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union—Shri S. Moitra,
General Secretary.

For the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union—Shri S. K. Shetye, General
Secretary.

Dated at Bombay this 6th day of October 1965

INDUSTRY: Major Port.

STATE: Maharashtra.

AWARD

1. On a joint application of the Bombay Port Trust, the Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, and the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union, the Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 28/98/64-LR IV dated 7th October, 1964, made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject-matters specified in the following schedule to the said order, to me for adjudication:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether, having regard to Resolutions of the Trustees of the Port of Bombay No. 502 of 17th September, 1946, and 766 of 9th November, 1948, typists recruited prior to 9th November, 1948, are entitled to the unified scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180.”

2. After the usual notices were issued, Shri S. Moitra, the General Secretary of the Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, filed his Union's written statement of claim dated 2nd December, 1964, and the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union filed its written statement of claim dated 31st December, 1964, to which the Bombay Port Trust filed its written statement in reply dated 31st July, 1965: after which the dispute was taken up for hearing on 29th September, 1965, and concluded on 30th September 1965.

3. At the hearing I was told that the dispute covers the past claim of some 17 typists who are no longer holding the post of typists but have long ago, not less than 10 years from today, but have been getting the benefit of the scale claimed by them in their capacity as clerks employed in the Bombay Port Trust. The claim, therefore, boils down only to the higher increments prescribed under the unified scale of pay of Rs. 65—5—100—8—180, for the period during which these 17 workmen had worked as Typists in the scale of Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150.

4. Now, this dispute has to be adjudicated upon in terms of the two Resolutions of the Trustees of the Port of Bombay viz., 502 of 17th September 1946 and 766 of 9th November 1948, mentioned in the orders of Reference, and it is, therefore, necessary first to state what these resolutions have decided.

5. Copy of the Resolution No. 502 has been annexed as Ex. A to the B.P.T.'s written statement, and copy of Resolution No. 766 as Ex. B. The statement A(b) annexed to Resolution No. 502 of 17th September, 1946, gives details of the approximate number of posts, designations, then existing grade (present grade), and the revised grade of pay fixed by that Resolution, and the relevant particulars thereof are as follows:—

Approximate No. of Posts	Designation	Present Grade	Revised Grade
269	Scale 'A' clerks and Stenographers, 2nd grade	60-5/2-90-5-110-5-150-EB- at Rs. 90 and Rs. 100	65-5-100-8-180-EB- at 100.
164	Scale 'B' clerks and typists.	60-5/2-90	Starting pay for pass graduates Rs. 75, Honours Graduates Rs. 80, Stenographer 2nd Grade, Rs. 90-5-100-8-180. Typists, 65-5-100.

6. By T.R. No. 766 of 9th November, 1948, after considering the revised scales prescribed by Government for the clerical staff of the various Central Government Offices and Railways in implementation of the Central Pay Commission's recommendations, the Trustees resolved to bifurcate the scale of pay of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180 fixed for clerks, into two scales:—

'B' Scale to consist of Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150

(applicable to future entrants)

and

'A' Scale of Rs. 100—8—140—EB—8—180—10—200.

II Grade Stenographers were put in the scale of Rs. 100—8—180—10—200 and Typists who were in the scale of Rs. 65—5—100 were put in the scale of Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150 plus special pay of Rs. 20 if the qualifications of stenographers is acquired. The Appendix to this Resolution No. 766 also fixed the number of clerical posts to be fixed in the A and B scales.

7. It is also necessary to note that prior to 1946, there were various pay scales for the clerical cadre, and the revised scales of pay under T.R. No. 502 of 17th September, 1946, were sanctioned with effect from 1st May, 1946. According to Shri Shetye of the B.P.T. Employees' Union, typists and some 'B' class clerks were then in the scale of Rs. 60—5/2—90 and clerks knowing typing were given a higher start of Rs. 70 in that scale. Shri Shetye has, in that connection, filed an extract from the Chief Engineer's Order of July, 1948 (Ex. W1) (BPTEU). This extract shows that some typists were started on Rs. 70 in the typist's scale

of Rs. 65—5—100 and that some others were asked to work as clerks. It is admitted that for all clerks appointed prior to 1948, the common scale prescribed under T.R. No. 502 was Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180, but typists were by that Resolution, prescribed a scale of Rs. 65—5—100. Now, it is also admitted that under T.R. No. 766 of 9th November, 1948, further revisions of pay-scales were sanctioned. The Uniform scale of pay for clerks of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180 was split up into 'A' and 'B' scales, 'B' scale clerks to be in the scale of Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150 (applicable to future entrants) and for 'A' scale clerks, the scale fixed was Rs. 100—8—140—EB—8—180—10—200. For typists, under this Resolution the former scale of Rs. 65—5—100 was changed to Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150 plus special pay of Rs. 20 if qualification of stenographer was acquired. It is admitted that all indoor clerks appointed prior to 4th November, 1948, were entitled under these Resolutions to a pay-scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180. Shri Shetye for the B.P.T. Employees' Union has urged that in 1946 or even in 1948, there was no demarcation of duties for typists and clerks, and his contention is that typists were then doing additional duty of typing in addition to their duties as clerks. He has, in that connection, relied upon an appointment letter dated 20th July, 1948, by which one V. A. Chaturbuj was appointed as temporary indoor clerk in the Stores Department on Rs. 65 in the scale of Rs. 65—5—100 with effect from 3rd April, 1948, but a subsequent corrigendum to that appointment in the Stores Department was that of a typist and not of a clerk, as conveyed by the letter of 20th July, 1948 (Ex. W2, BPTEU). He has stated that this showed that there was then no distinction between the duties of typists and clerks. He has referred to the instance of another clerk, one Thadani, and some other stray instances. With regard to Thadani, he has argued that though he was a typist, he had got the benefit of the scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180, to which the B.P.T.'s reply was that Thadani, had, in the meantime, been promoted as a clerk. Shri Shetye for the B.P.T. Employees' Union, has further urged that in 1962, certain indoor clerks were, given the benefit of the scale of Rs. 65—180 with retrospective effect, whilst the same was unjustifiably denied to the typists who were retained in the scale of Rs. 60—5—100—5—150. Shri Shetye has argued that typists had made representations claiming the higher scale of pay of clerks, but that by the Chairman's Order of 1951, typists were placed in the general 'B' scale grade of Rs. 60—5—100—EB—5—150 (See Ex. C to B.P.T.'s written statement). The Bombay Port Trust's contention is that this order was passed to provide promotional opportunities, and in fact, it is admitted that, at present, both 'B' scale clerks and typists are in the said common scale of Rs. 60—150.

8. Now, by an office-endorsement No. PU/GEE-GW(U)/1921, dated 17th November, 1961, the Chairman's decision was conveyed to the Union, that the unified scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180, sanctioned by T.R. No. 502 of 1948 for indoor clerks would also be applicable to indoor clerks recruited prior to 9th November, 1948, who were previously placed in the scale of Rs. 65—5—100. (See Ex. D at page 24 to the B.P.T.'s written statement). Later, the Deputy Secretary of the B.P.T. by his circular, dated 3rd January, 1962 (Part of Ex. D at page 25 to the B.P.T.'s written statement) conveyed the Chairman's ruling that the decision conveyed by the office-endorsement, dated 17th November, 1961, was applicable to all temporary indoor clerks who were recruited prior to 9th November, 1948, even though they may not have been confirmed as "B" scale clerks on 9th November, 1948, and the circular went on to state that this modified the orders contained in para 5 of the Chief Accountant's circular, dated 24th December, 1948. Now, both Shri S. K. Shetye and Shri S. Moitra for the B.P.T. Unions have laid great emphasis on these circulars, and have urged that it is manifestly unfair that when certain categories of indoor clerks were granted the benefit of the unified scale of pay of Rs. 65—180 with retrospective effect prior to 1948 by circular orders issued in 1961, that the same benefits should have been denied to the typists.

9. Now, the important fact to bear in mind in this connection is that these two circulars were issued by the Chairman's Orders because, under para 5 of the Chief Accountant's circular, dated 23rd December, 1948, temporary indoor clerks and unconfirmed indoor clerk were denied the benefits of the unified scale of pay of Rs. 65—180 which admittedly the permanent indoor clerks were entitled to. These two circulars admittedly cover only the cases of temporary and unconfirmed indoor clerks, who were in service as indoor clerks on 9th November, 1948. They were, under the Chief Accountant's circular, denied the benefit of the unified scale of pay, not because they did not come in the category of clerks, but because though they were indoor clerks they were temporary and unconfirmed. In this connection, it is interesting to note that the Union, by its letter dated 21st August, 1960 (Ex. EA) only raised the dispute claiming the benefit of this scale of pay for these unconfirmed and temporary indoor clerks, and not for typists. These two circulars have been the main ground of both Shri S. K. Shetye and Shri S. Moitra in support of the demand under reference, but I am

not satisfied that the grant of the benefit of the unified scale of Rs. 65—180 to the temporary and unconfirmed indoor clerks who were in service on 9th November, 1948, as such, can be a ground for justification of the grant of the unified scale of pay of Rs. 65—180 to typists.

10. Another ground urged by Shri S. Moitra, is that the term 'Clerk' includes typists. He has in that connection relied upon the definition of the word "clerk" appearing in the Oxford Dictionary, and he has sought to argue that the work of typists is clerical work and, therefore, typists were entitled to the higher unified scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180 for clerks. This argument at first sight appears plausible, but the important thing to note is that though generically typists may fall in the clerical cadre, there was a separate scale of pay prescribed for typists, both by T.R. No. 502 of 17th September, 1946 and T.R. No. 766 of 9th November, 1948. Separate scales of pay having been prescribed for workmen who were doing typing work and were designated as typists, and that scale of pay having been accepted, and the fact that there was also a provision for a special pay of Rs. 20 if they acquired the qualifications of stenographers, surely shows that typists were designated and treated as a separate category, in the generic clerical cadre. Shri Moitra has relied upon a note of the Assistant Manager, Establishments, B.P.T. Railway (Ex. W2), (BPTGWU), where this officer had stated that the typing section in his establishment was considered as a separate section, but was in some cases, considered to be on par with other sections in the office, and in some cases considered to be an isolated one, not forming part and parcel of the office, along with the other sections. The point that the Assistant Manager, Establishments, was trying to make was that sometimes vacancies that ought to have been allotted to the staff according to their seniority in the whole office, including the typing section, were actually allotted, after excluding from consideration the staff from the typing section, and in other cases they were included for such consideration; and he stated that he did not know why this was being done. He, however, ventured an explanation for that practice by stating that the probable reason was that the then Railway Manager recommended to the Chairman, B.P.T. the segregation and exclusion of the then typing section from the other sections of the office. But the gist of the memorandum appears to be that the vacancies during the relevant period were incorrectly allotted to the staff as per the observations made by him. I do not think this note can establish the present claim, which under terms of the reference, has to be interpreted in terms of T.R. Nos. 502 of 17th September, 1946 and 766 of 9th November, 1948.

11. Shri Moitra has also relied upon a letter, dated 16th June, 1964, from the Assistant Secretary, B.P.T., Shri R. U. Pai, to the General Secretary, B.P.T. General Workers' Union, forwarding the terms of reference herein, and the reply of the same date from the General Secretary of his Union, in which he had concurred with the terms of reference embodied therein. His purpose seemed to be to draw attention to the fact that the Union had made representation on 17th June, 1963, for fixation of pay of typists appointed prior to 9th November, 1948, and that the B.P.T., by its letter, dated 2nd November, 1963, had informed it that it had no objection to a joint reference under Section 10(2) of the Act. But I fail to see how all this can establish the justification of the demand under reference.

12. It appears to me that isolated instances of typists who had been granted the clerical scale, as stated by the B.P.T. Employees' Union, cannot be held to justify the demand, because, as submitted by Shri Captain, where clerks were doing typing work, they were allowed the benefit of the unified scale of pay for clerks, and the instances cited by the B.P.T. Employees' Union appear to be of such cases.

13. It appears to me that this claim on behalf of the typists has been put forward as an after-thought as a result of the Chairman's Order, dated 3rd January, 1962, granting all temporary indoor clerks who were recruited prior to 9th November, 1948, even though they had not been confirmed as 'B' scale clerks on 9th November, 1948, the benefit of the unified scale of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180. These temporary and unconfirmed indoor clerks were admittedly indoor clerks, and were denied the benefit of the unified scale simply because they were temporary and unconfirmed, though they were recruited prior to 9th November, 1948. Their case can easily be distinguished from the case of typists for whom a separate scale of pay was prescribed by the Resolutions referred to in the Order of Reference, and who had continued in that scale from the time the two Resolutions became effective and who had. I am satisfied, not effectively raised any industrial dispute claiming the benefit of the unified scale till the temporary and unconfirmed indoor clerks were granted the benefit of the unified scale, retrospectively from 9th November, 1948. These 17 persons for whom the claim is made, for

the period when they were working as typists, have made an attempt at resuscitating a dead claim, which was never made when these persons were working as typists, but has been made at least 10 years after they had passed into the grades of clerks and ceased to be typists. It is significant that in the Union's letter of 2nd January, 1962, on which reliance is placed, by the workmen, does not claim retrospective effect on the ground of typists being part of indoor clerks. If they were part and parcel of the indoor clerks, there is no reason why they should have been shown separately in Statement A(b) annexed to the T.R. No. 502 of 17th September, 1946, and why two different scales should have been prescribed. I am satisfied that the two circulars conveying the orders of the Chairman, dated 17th November, 1961 and 3rd January, 1962 (Ex. D collectively to the B.P.T.'s written statement) are being sought to be taken advantage of as an after-thought.

14. For these reasons, I am of the opinion that, having regard to the Resolutions of the Trustees of the Port of Bombay No. 502 of 17th September, 1946 and No. 768 of 9th November, 1948, typists recruited prior to 9th November, 1948, are not entitled to the unified scale of pay of Rs. 65—5—100—EB—8—180, and I answer the reference accordingly.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 28/98/64/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3468.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium, Andhra Pradesh, and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 14th October, 1965.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ANDHRA PRADESH,
HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan, M.A. LL.B., Fazel (Om); B.C.L. (Oxon); D. Phil. (Oxon); Bar-at-Law; (Lincoln's Inn) (London); Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 55/1964
BETWEEN

Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudium.
AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Co., Ltd., Kothagudium.

APPEARANCES:

Sri K. G. Kannabiram, Advocate,—for workmen.

Sri P. Sitapati, Advocate—for Management.

AWARD

The industrial dispute between the employers of the Singareni Collieries Company, Limited, Kothagudium, and their workmen regarding grant of one additional increment in fixation of pay on promotion was referred for adjudication by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi's Letter No. 7/6/64-LR II, dated 27th November, 1964, with the following issues framed in the Schedule to the order of reference, viz.,

"(1) Whether the following 25 clerks are entitled on promotion to an additional increment and, if so, whether the action of the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in not allowing one additional increment (besides the adjustment given in the revised grade) at the time of fixation of pay as on promotion is justified:

(1) Shri A. Brahmajish.	(14) Shri B. R. Narayana-Chetty.
(2) Shri G. Venkateswara Rao.	(15) Shri G. Komaraiah.
(3) Shri S. Devadass.	(16) Shri T. R. Bhushanam.
(4) Shri A. George.	(17) Shri M. Krishnarao.
(5) Shri N. K. Murty.	(18) Shri B. J. Sundararao.
(6) Shri C. B. Prasadrao.	(19) Shri J. W. C. James.
(7) Shri K. J. Sundaram.	(20) Shri M. Poornachandrarao.
(8) Shri I. V. Tatarao.	(21) Shri K. V. S. Yagulas.
(9) Shri G. Elias.	(22) Shri P. V. Punnaiah.
(10) Shri K. Ramavathar.	(23) Shri P. Suryanarayana.
(11) Shri K. Satyanarayana Rao.	(24) Shri Ch. Satyanarayana Muty.
(12) Shri S. Laxminarayanan.	
(13) Shri Shukaraiah.	(25) Shri S. V. Ramarao.

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?"

2. The industrial dispute was registered here as industrial dispute No. 55 of 1964. Though the claims statement and the counter of the employer to it were filed without much delay, yet, the parties did not conduct the case till today the 7th October, 1965. Today, two witnesses on behalf of the workmen and one on that of the employer were produced. Some documents were also filed by both the parties. Arguments were heard. I proceed immediately to pass the Award below.

3. The workmen's stand is that on promotion the employer always not only gave adjustment in the revised grade but gave an additional increment also. That was the usual practice. The employer did not observe it in the case of the 25 Clerks under consideration. Hence, he was not justified in denying the increment to the 25 clerks under consideration when he promoted them. The workmen filed Annexure-B to show that besides adjustment additional increments were given to the 15 clerks mentioned therein and W.W.1 deposed that the cases mentioned in the said Annexure-B are merely illustrative and not exhaustive. The truth of his statement is evident from Exs. M-13 and 14 as in these two cases also additional increments were given. As against this, the learned advocate for the employer maintained that there was no such practice and an increment has to be earned. It is not the workmen's allegation that the increment they are claiming was earned by them. If the Annexure-B to the claims statement would show that besides adjustment an increment was given, the case of the 25 clerks under consideration to whom no increment was given would prove that the practice was not invariable and, therefore, really there was no practice. Moreover, the said Annexure-B is not correct in all particulars. For instance, serial 5 of Annexure-B is the case of Sambamurthy. On promotion he was given merely an adjustment and was not given any increment. Besides, his grade was different from the grade of the said 25 clerks. It was a grade of Rs. 115—200. Sambamurthy was drawing Rs. 142 and he was adjusted at Rs. 145 without any increment. Again, some clerks mentioned in Annexure-B were given the adjustment at Rs. 102 only, for the reason that, there was an efficiency bar and, of course, efficiency bar cannot be crossed without showing efficiency in a year's work. In short, the learned advocate maintained that the alleged practice has no existence and, if on promotion in some cases, besides adjustment, increment was given, it was due to the merit of the individual clerk concerned, or, as a result of settlements with the workmen. Serials 8, 9 and 12 of Annexure-B are cases of settlement. These three clerks were given increment in view of settlements and serials 6, 7, 11, 14 and 15 of Annexure-B were given one increment for the reason that, the employer always considered that on promotion some monetary benefit should be given. As these clerks were drawing, say Rs. 85 in their old grade of Rs. 48—100 and Rs. 85, was a stage in the revised grade of Rs. 70—158 they were given one increment of Rs. 5 and were fixed at Rs. 90 per month with a view to give them some monetary benefit. Thus, explaining the cases relied on by workmen in Annexure-B, the learned advocate contended that all the 25 clerks under consideration have been properly fixed. The practice is not proved and, therefore, the case should be decided against the workmen.

4. I have considered the entire record and the arguments of the learned advocates of the parties carefully. In my opinion, if the only thing to consider in this case were the practice merely, I perhaps would have held that practice was a slander ground for allowing the workmen's claim. It appears that in the Mozamdar Award, commonly called Coal Award, nothing is laid down on promotions. The learned advocates of the parties failed to show me and I also could not find anything therein on promotions. However, paragraph 80⁹ on page 212 gives directions for implementation of the scales of pay awarded by the Coal Award. The following are the two directives which appear to be of use in this case:

(i) the workmen shall be placed at the stage in the new scale equal to or next above his basic pay in the existing scales as on the date of the publication of the Award.

(ii) All efficiency bars whether in the existing or in the new scales shall be ignored for this purpose."

In my opinion, the first directive permits fixation in the scale equal to or next above basic pay and the second directs that efficiency bars should be ignored. In the absence of any provision in the Coal Award on promotion, the same principle may in my opinion be applied by analogy to the cases of promotions. As already stated, the first directive permits the giving of the scale next above the corresponding scale. This will mean that an increment should be given and for this purpose on the analogy of the 2nd directive, the efficiency bars would

have to be ignored. I have relied on this provision for the reason also that in my experience as Industrial Tribunal found that the employers always give an increment on promotion *suo moto*. That was the practice in ever so many cases and that is also recommended by the Central Pay Commission. It appears to me that it is also the usual practice in the case of Government employees. It will also be seen that the employer himself concedes this: M.W.1 the Divisional Personnel Officer has conceded that some monetary benefit should be given on promotion. He has deposed that in the cases of serials 6, 7, 11, 14 and 15 of Annexure-B one increment was given for this very reason. If the employer concedes that some monetary benefit should be given, it will be only just and fair to give an increment without stopping at the slight benefit of Rs. 2 or so given by way of adjustment. Thus, the basis of my decision is this very principle conceded by the employer himself that monetary benefit should be given on promotion, of course, after amplifying it and without restricting it to the benefit of adjustment merely. Another ground of my decision is that discrimination between workmen and workmen is not conducive to peace in industry. It may be noted that in many of the cases of the 15 clerks specified in Annexure-B increment in addition to adjustment was given without going into the question of merit. These are three cases of settlement in which merit was not gone into. Again, in addition, to the 5 cases of serials 6, 7, 11, 14 and 15, merit was also not gone into in the case of V. Ramarao and K. Suryanarayana Rao shown in Ex. M-13 and Ex. M-14. Same applies to the case of serials 2 and 3, P. Ganapatirao and M. Venkateswararao, as is also in the cases of K. Manikyarao, serial 10 of Annexure-B and K. Sriramamurthy, serial 12 of the said Annexure. In fact, M.W.1, the Divisional Personnel Officer, has admitted in cross-examination that he has produced no record to show that wherever an increment in addition to adjustment was given it was given on merit. He has also admitted that he has not prepared any list of clerks who were not given an increment in addition to adjustment. He has also conceded that he has not produced any record to show that the 25 clerks under consideration do not deserve increment on merit. In view of these admissions, I feel that the plea of discrimination urged by the learned advocate for the workmen is not devoid of weight. If it cannot be shown against these 25 clerks that they do not deserve increment on merit and the employer has failed to prove that wherever an increment was given, it was given on merit only, it is obvious that to deny an increment on promotion to these 25 clerks, while giving it to almost all the clerks mentioned in Annexure-B and those specified in Exs. M-13 and 14, will be discrimination and discrimination is not conducive to industrial peace. Thus, the second prop of my decision is that it will not be conducive to industrial peace if the employer is allowed to show discrimination in the case of these 25 clerks. I, therefore, hold that the employer was not justified in denying an increment in addition to the adjustment to the 25 clerks concerned.

5. The next question is the date from which the increment should be given. In view of the Supreme Court decisions, though there is nothing to stop the Tribunal to award increments from the date of promotion, still, usually the claim is allowed from the date of demand in conciliation. It appears that the date of conciliation was 7th March, 1964. I, therefore, direct that an increment should be given from that date to all the 25 clerks under consideration.

AWARD accordingly, given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this the 7th Day of October, 1965.

(Sd.) M. S. ALI KHAN, Industrial Tribunal.

List of Witnesses examined for:

Workmen:

1. W.W. 1: Sri S. K. Srinivasan.
2. W.W. 2: Sri A. Brahmaiah.

Management:

MW1: P. Papa Rao.

List of Documents marked for Workmen:

- Ex. W-1—Memo. of settlement arrived at during discussions held between the Management of the Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., and their workmen on 12th October, 1964.
- Ex. W-2—Copy of Letter No. P4/719/2492, dated 2nd/4th November, 1959 from the General Manager to the Paymaster, Kothagudium.
- Ex. W-3—Petition of the General Secretary, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium in I.D. No. 55/64, dated 15th March, 1965.
- Ex. W-3/1—Notice Petition of the General Secretary, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium in I.D. No. 55/64, dated 15th March, 1965.

Collieries Mazdoor Sangh addressed to the General Manager, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium calling upon him to produce certain documents in I.D. 55/64.

Ex. W-3/2—Petition of the General Secretary, Singareni Collieries Mazdoor Sangh, in I.Ds. 16/65, 26/65, 55/64 and 59/64 submitting the intention of the Sangh to engage advocates on their behalf.

List of Documents marked for Management:

Ex. M-1—Office Order No. not clear, dated 21st August, 1958 of the Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd. hereinafter referred to as the company.

Ex. M-2—Letter No. P. 4/16/1334, dated 7th July, 1959 from the Genl. Manager of the company addressed to the Secretaries of the Company, Hyderabad.

Ex. M-3—Office Order No. P. 4/2155/347, dated 18/19 January, 1962 of the General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-4—Office Order No. P. 4/2421/4509, dated 1st October, 1963 of the General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-5—Office Order No. P. 4/2524/5023, dated 17th October, 1964 of the P. 4/2340.

General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-6—Office Order No. P. 4/2421/5166, dated 24th October, 1964 of the General Manager of the company.

Ex. M-7—Memorandum of settlement arrived at during the discussions held between the representatives of the management of the Singareni Collieries Co., Ltd., Kothagudium and the workmen on the 19th October, 1964, regarding the demand for stenographer's grade Rs. 70—158 to Mr. D. J. Rajaratnam.

Ex. M-8—Letter No. 74/S/3865, dated 9/10th September, 1963, from Abdul Azeem Khan to Shri N. Bhaskarachary, Personnel Manager, Kothagudium.

Ex. M-9—Office Order No. P. 4/2421/5286, dated 23rd December, 1963, of the General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-10—Office Order No. P. 4/2418/5024, dated 30th October, 1963, of the General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-11—Memo. of settlement arrived before the Regional Labour Commissioner (C) Vizag at Kothagudium, on 11th October, 1963, between the parties the Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium and the Singareni Collieries Workers' Union, Kothagudium collieries.

Ex. M-12—Letter No. P. 1362, dated 4th July, 1958, from the General Manager to the Secretaries, the S.C. Co. Ltd., Hyderabad.

Ex. M-13—Office Order No. P. 4/2436/3549, dated 20th September, 1964, of the General Manager of the Company.

Ex. M-14—Memo. of settlement arrived between the representatives of the management of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., and the Andhra Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh on 20th January, 1965, regarding I.D. No. 18/64, relating to Mr. K. Suryanarayana, Cash Book Clerk.

(Sd.) M. S. ALI KHAN,

Industrial Tribunal.
[No. 7/6/64/LRII.]

New Delhi, the 21st October 1965

S.O. 3469.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, P.O. Kothagudium (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 14th October, 1965.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ANDHRA PRADESH,
HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan, M.A., LL.B., Fazel (Osm); B.C.L., (Oxon); D.Phil., (Oxon); Bar-at-Law; (Lincoln's Inn) (London); Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 59/1964

BETWEEN

Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium,
AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium.

APPEARANCES:

Sri S. K. Srinivasan, for and on behalf of the Singareni Collieries Mazdoor Sangh, Kothagudium Collieries.

P. Solomanraj, Asstt. Personnel Officer, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Kothagudium, for management.

AWARD

The Government of India by their Letter of the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 7/24/64-LR II, dated 10th December, 1964, referred the industrial dispute between the employers of the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium, and their workmen with the following issues framed in the Schedule to the order of reference, *viz.*,

"(1) Whether the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited was justified in reducing the leave facilities availed of by Sri R. Roach, Sirdar of No. 5 incline from 1st January, 1961 to 1st July, 1964 and in recovering an amount of Rs. 779.23 Np being leave wages paid to him during the said period?

(2) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The reference was registered here as industrial dispute No. 59/1964. I am happy to note that at today's hearing the representatives of the parties filed a compromise. It is to the following effect:

- (1) The management agrees to repay the amounts recovered from the workman on leave account relating to the period between 1961 to 1964, which period will be treated as leave with pay.
- (2) The management shall not make any further recoveries from the wages on the leave account for the period 1961 to 1964.
- (3) The workman hereby agrees to abide by the Leave Rules as provided in the Standing Orders and the Mines Act of 1952.
- (4) The workman shall not claim 70 days leave, as availed earlier upto 1964, and the workman's leave, on and from 1st of January, 1965 shall be in accordance with clause 3 above."

The compromise has been signed by Sri B. Solaman Raju for and on behalf of management and by Sri S. K. Srinivasan for and on behalf of the union. Both of them were present and identified their signatures. I am, therefore, satisfied that the settlement has been genuinely entered into.

3. I considered the issues referred in the light of the settlement reached. The issues are covered by the terms of the compromise stated above. I, therefore, pass an Award in accordance with the settlement recorded in full above, holding that the employer was not justified in reducing the leave facilities or making the monetary recoveries and that the workman was entitled to the leave available in the past.

REPORT accordingly to Government of India, given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this the 11th Day of October, 1965.

(Sd.) M. S. ALI KHAN,
Industrial Tribunal.
[No. 7/24/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3470.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists or is apprehended between the employers in relation to Messrs C. P. Syndicate (Private) Limited, Contractors in Gorumahisani Iron Mines of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Gorumahisani, District Mayurbhanj and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

‘Whether the management of Messrs C. P. Syndicate (Private) Limited, Contractors in Gorumahisani Iron Mines of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Gorumahisani, District Mayurbhanj, is justified in dismissing Sarvasri R. N. Mohanty, Mining Foreman, S. S. Das, Assistant Mine (Mining) with effect from the 27th April, 1964 and B. N. Rout, General Mining Foreman from services with effect from the 24th February, 1965? If not, to what relief, are these workmen entitled?

[No. 37/39/65-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3471.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Indra Singh and Sons (P) Ltd., and their workmen employed in West Chirimiri Colliery P.O. Chirimiri, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd October, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY.

REFERENCE No. CGIT 44 of 1963

Employers in relation to Messrs Indra Singh and Sons Pvt., Ltd.,
AND
Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant.—*Presiding Officer.*

For the employers.—The Agent, Messrs Indra Singh and Sons Private Ltd., West Chirimiri Colliery.

For the workmen.—Shri Gulab Gupta, General Secretary, Chhattisgarh Colliery Workers' Federation, Chirimiri, Madhya Pradesh.

Dated at Bombay this 20th day of October, 1965.

INDUSTRY: Coal-mining.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

AWARD

1. The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 1/15/62 LR-II dated 18th October, 1963, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject matters specified in the following schedule to the said order, to me for adjudication:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the management of the West Chirimiri Colliery of Messrs Indra Singh and Sons was justified in refusing to give employment to the following workmen for the day(s) noted against each, namely:—

Sarvashri.

1. Surajlal s/o. Nankai, Trammer, 14th February, 1961.
2. Deshraj, Dresser, 6th September, 1961, to 9th September, 1961.
3. Ganesh Pd., Mining Sirdar, 5th January, 1961, to 7th January, 1961.
4. C. R. Samaddar, Electric Helper, 6th September, 1961.
5. Shyamsunder, Stone-cutter, 18th September, 1961, to 20th September, 1961.
6. Lakhan s/o. Goutu, Boiler Khalashi, 27th November, 1961, to 1st December, 1961.”.

2. After the Union had filed its written statement of claim herein on 19th July, 1964, and the Company had filed its reply dated 10th August, 1964, an application was received from the Union asking for the date to be fixed after 31st March, 1965.

Thereafter, the Tribunal received a letter dated 25th September, 1965, from Messrs Indra Singh and Sons Pvt., Ltd. West Chirimiri Colliery, forwarding the terms of settlement that had been reached between the parties above-named on 18th September, 1965, and praying that an award be made in terms thereof. A copy of the joint application of the parties dated 18th September, 1965, containing the terms of settlement reached between them, is attached hereto and marked Annexure 'A', and I make an award in terms thereof with no order as to costs.

Sd./- SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE "A"

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY
REFERENCE NO. 44 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to West Chirimiri Colliery, Chirimiri.

V.

Their Workmen.

Represented by the M. P. Colliery Workers' Federation.

In the matter of a Reference made by the Government of India *vide* S.O. No. 3043/18-10-1963, published in the Gazette of India, dated 26th October, 1963.

The parties above named beg to submit as under:—

1. That the subject-matter of the aforesaid Reference has been settled between the parties on the following terms:—

- (a) That Shri Deshraj, S. R. Sammaddar and Shri Lakhan have already left the employment with the management and therefore their cases are dropped by the Union.
- (b) That Shri Surajlal shall be paid a sum of Rs. 2 (Rs. Two only) by the Management as *ex-gratia* in full and final settlement of his claim in this Reference.
- (c) That Shri Shyamsunder shall be paid a sum of Rs. 6 only as *ex-gratia* by the management. It is to be noted that he has already received his wages for 20th September, 1965.
- (d) That according to the records shown by the management, Shri Ganesh Prasad has received already wages for the days from 5th January, 1961, to 7th January, 1961, and hence his case is dropped.

PRAYER.

It is, therefore, prayed that the Tribunal be pleased to accept the above agreement and dispose of the Reference in terms thereof.

Signature of the parties.

Representing Employers.

Sd./-

GURUMUKH SINGH,

Agent,

West Chirimiri Colliery,

Chirimiri, M.P.

Representing Workmen.

Sd./-

GULAB GUPTA,

General Secretary.

M. P. Colliery Workers'

Federation, M.P.

Witnesses.

1.

2.

Jabalpur,
September 18, 1965.

[No. 1/15/62-LRII.]

S.O. 3472.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 13th October, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT 19 of 1965

Employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust and their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:—

For the Bombay Port Trust—Shri M.R.S. Captain, Legal Adviser with Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser with Shri R. H. Gama, Officiating Deputy Controller of Stores.

For the B.P.T. Employees' Union—Shri S. K. Shetye, Assistant Secretary.

Dated at Bombay this day 15th of October, 1965

INDUSTRY: Major Port

STATE: Maharashtra.

AWARD

1. Upon a joint application of the B.P.T. and the B.P.T. Employees' Union (hereinafter referred to as the Union), the Central Government, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section 2 of Section 10 of the I.D. Act of 1947, (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the Industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject matters specified in the following schedule to the said order to me for adjudication:—

SCHEDULE

“Whether the shore crews of Trustees' Port Department at Alexandra Dock, P&V Docks & Butcher Island are entitled to any relief by way of reimbursement of part or whole of the expenditure incurred by them on washing their uniforms. If so, whether such relief should be granted as a cash allowance or by issue of soap, and in either case, what should be the quantum of such relief, and from what date should it be given?”

2. This dispute concerns some 300 shore-crews of the shore department at Alexandra Dock, P.V. Docks and Butcher Island working in the port department of the Trust, and the claim is that these workmen should be paid Rs. 10/- per month per head as washing allowance of their uniforms, with effect from 1st October 1957. It is admitted that each of the shore crews is supplied with four sets of uniforms consisting of one navy blue shirt and a navy blue pyjama, which is supplied to them annually and a woollen sailor's cap with B.P.T. ribbon and a warm woollen coat which is supplied biannually. The Union bases its claim for a special allowance on the ground that the duties of these workmen, which it has enumerated in para 3 of its written statement of claim dated 27th August 1965, are such that in the very performance of those duties, their uniforms get soiled. It is further submitted that these workmen have to incur an expenditure of about Rs. 10/- per month over the washing of these uniforms and that this expenditure is likely to further increase due to increase in price of firewood, soap, chemicals etc. It is further urged that dhobies in Bombay have recently increased their washing charges by 50%. It is further submitted that the B.P.T. has made arrangements for getting the uniforms supplied by it to its peons employed in its various departments, washed at its expense.

3. The B.P.T. in its written statement dated 27th September 1965, has raised a preliminary objection against the maintainability of this demand. Briefly stated its contention is that the cost of getting these uniforms washed was taken into consideration when the scales of pay of these shore crews were fixed by the Jeejebhoy Committee and later by Shri Meher to whom cases of anomalies in the wage scales fixed by the Jeejebhoy Committee were referred to in Reference I.T.C.G. Nos. 1 of 1963 and 3 of 1963 (See Ex. 'A' to the B.P.T.'s written statement). I have heard the submissions of the learned Legal Advisers of the Port Trust as also of Shri S. K. Shetye, the Assistant Secretary of the Union, on this point, but I am not satisfied that there is any substance in the contention of the B.P.T. There is little to show that in fixing the scales of pay of these categories, the Jeejebhoy Committee and Shri Meher had made any allowance for the expenditure incurred by these workmen over the washing of their uniforms, although it does appear that in support of a higher scale of pay for these workmen, the Union had referred to the expenditure incurred by these workmen in getting their uniforms washed.

I, therefore, reject the preliminary objection of the B.P.T. and hold that this reference is maintainable and I have jurisdiction to entertain the same.

4. On the merits of the demand, the B.P.T. has urged that under a settlement arrived at between the B.P.T. and the Union, lascars Grade I and II had been awarded a caisson allowance of Rs. 6/- per month with effect from 1-10-1957, which Shri Meher in his Award in Reference I.T.C.G. Nos. 1 of 1963 and 3 of 1963 (published in the Gazette of India dated 13th March, 1965 Part II, Section 3(ii) ordinary pagas 952 and 953) had considered more than adequate. The B.P.T. has further stated that as far as the other lascars are concerned, Shri Meher after considering all their duties placed before him by this Union and the B.P.T. General Workers' Union had awarded a special allowance of Rs. 2/- per month in addition to their grade pay to those Lascars who had completed 6 months service and that the Tribunal in its Award published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3 (ii) dated 2nd January, 1965, pages 21—43, had observed that it did not think any further allowance for other types of miscellaneous work should be given. The Port Trust has also drawn attention to the fact that Shri Meher in his Award had expressed the opinion that the caisson allowance of Rs. 6/- per month was already on the high side of that had it not been for the agreement under which it had been granted, he would have reduced it to Rs. 3/- or Rs. 4/- per month. The B.P.T. has therefore urged that since Shri Meher's Award was published as recently as on 2nd January, 1965, and had been implemented by the Trustees and as that Award had been made after considering all the duties and responsibilities of these workmen, the Union should be debarred from advancing any further claims on behalf of the shore crews who are actually lascars Grade I and II. It has urged that a special allowance of Rs. 2/- which Shri Meher had awarded with retrospective effect from 1st October 1957 should be deemed to include the expenses for washing their uniforms and that the present demand should be rejected. But as I have stated earlier, there is nothing to indicate that these special allowances were to cover the expenses of getting these uniforms washed.

5. On the merits the B.P.T. has stated that without prejudice to its said contentions, it had offered to give 1 bar of soap per head per month to shore lascars purely as a gesture of goodwill and with a view to maintain industrial peace, that the Union had spurned the offer and had now claimed a special allowance of Rs. 10/- per month per head in cash, that the Union had directed the shore crews of the Alexandra, Prince's and Victoria Docks to strike work on 19th August, on this among other issues, and that the strike had only been called off on 20th August, 1964 on the intervention of the Honourable Minister of Transport. The B.P.T. has urged that there is absolutely no justification for the claim of a washing allowance of Rs. 10/- per month. With regard to the washing of uniforms of the peon staff of the various departments of the Port Trust, to which the Union had referred in its written statement, the B.P.T. has urged that there was no proper basis of comparison because the scales of pay of the peon staff had not been adjudicated upon recently and they had, unlike the shore crew not been given any additional allowance for washing or any other purpose. That these peons had not got any special allowance in the form of caisson allowance or special allowance as granted to the shore crew. The B.P.T. has denied that the duties and responsibilities of the shore crew are such as to soil their uniforms in discharge of these duties. It has also denied the rates of the washing charges of the various items of the uniforms supplied to the shore lascars as stated in para 7 of the statement of claim of the Union. The B.P.T. has denied that there is any justification for the grant of an allowance of Rs. 10/- per month much less with retrospective effect from 1st October 1957 as claimed by the Union.

6. Upon hearing the submissions of the parties, I am satisfied that the duties of the shore crew are such that their uniforms get soiled during the discharge of their duties by them. As such the demand of the workmen for the supply of soap for washing these uniforms cannot be considered to be unreasonable. In the terms of reference itself the relief claimed is in terms of a cash allowance or by issue of soap to these workmen to enable them to wash their uniforms. There is an existing practise in the Port Trust of the issue of one bar of soap per month to certain categories of employees to whom uniforms are supplied by the B.P.T. The B.P.T. has at the hearing repeated its earlier offer of supplying one bar of soap per month to each of the shore crews. Shri Shetye for the Union has repeatedly urged that he was not anxious for a cash allowance but stated that if washing soap was to be supplied a better quality of soap than what the B.P.T. had offered and at least a bar and half should be supplied per month per worker concerned. I have seen the bar of soap which the Port Trust has offered and which quality of soap it is supplying to its other categories of employees and I think it would create an invidious distinction and may lead to unnecessary further industrial disputes if I

were to direct that a better quality of washing soap of one of the popular varieties mentioned by the Union at the hearing were to be directed to be supplied. I am satisfied that that 1 bar of soap which measures about 14" of the quality offered by the B.P.T. would be more than ample to wash 2 or even 3 sets of uniforms per week. The B.P.T. has stated that the bar of soap measuring 14" which it supplies costs Re. 1.75 nP. Anything more than this would not be justified and it ordered would create dissatisfaction among those employees of the Port Trust who are at present supplied with 1 bar of soap per head per month.

7. After hearing the submissions of the parties I am satisfied that there are justifiable administration difficulties in the way of the B.P.T. being directed to get the uniforms of the shore-crew washed at its own expense as is being done for its Peon Staff. The shore crew are not employed at one place but are distributed over many places in the Alexandra, Princes and Victoria Docks and at Butcher Islands and the apprehension that this remedy would prove worse than the disease is not unjustified.

8. Considering all these facts and circumstances I hold that the shore crew of the Trustees' Port Department at Alexandra, Princes and Victoria Docks and Butcher Island are entitled to relief by way of reimbursement of part of their expenditure incurred by them on washing their uniforms. I further hold that such relief should be granted by issue of 1 bar of the quality of soap which the Port Trust generally supplies to its employees and which was produced at the hearing.

9. With regard to the date from which this relief should be granted I am not satisfied with the demand for it being granted with retrospective effect from 1st October 1957 as claimed by the Union. In my opinion, the demand can only be granted prospectively and I direct that it should be granted with effect from 1st December, 1965.

No order as to costs.

Sd/- SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 28/6/65-LRIV.]

S.O. 3473.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the West Chirimiri Colliery of Messrs Indra Singh and Sons (Pvt.) Ltd., P.O. Chirimiri, District Surguja and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 18th October, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-13 OF 1963

Employers in relation to the West Chirimiri Colliery of Messrs Indra Singh & Sons (Pvt.) Ltd., Post Office Chirimiri, District Surguja.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

For the Employers—Shri Gurmukh Singh, Agent, West Chirimiri Colliery, M.P.

For the Workmen—Shri Gulab Gupta, General Secretary, Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers Federation, Chirimiri.

Dated at Bombay this 15th day of October, 1965.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mining.

AWARD PART II

The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 1/15/62-LRII, dated 15th April, 1963, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), was pleased to refer to me for adjudication the industrial dispute between the parties above named in respect of the subject matters specified in the 17 items stated in the schedule to the said order.

2. By my Award Part I, dated 14th May, 1965, I made an Award on items 2 to 17 (both inclusive) in terms of the settlement which had been reached between the parties and the only demand which survived thereafter for adjudication was the demand under item No. 1 in the Schedule to the order of Reference.

3. Thereafte^r dated 22nd Sep^t (copy annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A') of settlement also, dated 22nd September, 1965 (copy annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'B') and parties have prayed that an Award be made in terms of the said memorandum of settlement on item No. 1 under reference. As the terms of settlement appear to be fair and reasonable I make an Award on the outstanding item No. 1 of this reference, in terms recorded in Annexure 'B' hereto.

4. This Award Part II thus disposes of the entire reference.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

Ref. No. CGIT/13 of 1963

Employers in relation to West Chirimiri Colliery of M/s. Indra Singh & Sons (P) Ltd., P.O. Chirimiri, M.P.

Vs.

Their Workmen represented by Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, Chirimiri, M.P.

The parties abovenamed beg to submit as under:—

1. That the parties had settled a part of the reference by an agreement, dated 26th April, 1965 and the Tribunal was pleased to pass an award (Part I) on 14th May, 1965 and the same was published in the Gazette of India, dated June 5, 1965.

2. That the item No. 1 of the said reference, which was not covered by the aforesaid agreement and the award has now been settled by the parties in terms of the agreement enclosed herewith. The parties request that the Tribunal be pleased to dispose off this item of the reference by an award and oblige.

Prayer.—It is therefore prayed that the reference may kindly be disposed off in terms of the agreement.

(Sd.) GURUMUKH SINGH,
Agent,

West Chirimiri Colliery.

Chirimiri, M.P.

Dated 22nd Sept. 1965.

(Sd.) VIDYARATHY PANDEY,
President,

M.P. Colliery Workers' Federation.

ANNEXURE 'B'

FORM H

[See Rule 58 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957]

Memorandum of Settlement

Name of Parties:

Representing Employers:—

Shri Gurmukh Singh, Agent, West Chiriniri Colliery, Chirimiri.

Representing Employees:—

1. Shri Vidyarthi Pandey, President, Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, Chirimiri.
2. Shri Vimal Sinha, Secretary, M.P.C.W.F., Chirimiri.

Short Recital of the Case

By an order of reference, dated 15th April, 1963, the Government of India was pleased to refer an industrial dispute containing 17 items to the adjudication of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay. Sixteen items of the said order of reference were settled by the parties by a mutual agreement, dated 26th April, 1965 and were disposed of by the said Tribunal by an award (Part I), dated 14th May, 1965. The item No. (1) of the said order of reference has been the subject of negotiations between the parties and the settlement has been reached on the said item on the following terms and conditions:—

Terms and Conditions

It is hereby agreed—

1. That subject to paragraphs 3 & 4 of this agreement, all those workers whose rest day is fixed on days other than Sunday, if required to work on Sunday, would be paid for such work at one-and-a-half times of their normal rate of wages and if they are called upon to work on their assigned rest day, they would be entitled to payment at overtime rate for that work.
2. Those workers whose rest day has been fixed as Sunday would be entitled to wages at overtime rates for the work done by them on Sundays except in the case of the workers like overman, etc., who are exempted from such payment under Section 33 of the Mines Act.
3. That Clause (1) of this agreement shall not apply to the wagon-loaders in respect of whom arrangement as per the agreement, dated 16th September, 1959, would continue.
4. That this agreement shall also not apply to casual workers.
5. That the management shall employ such number of workers on Sundays as it may consider necessary for the work to be done on that day provided that the personnel engaged on Sunday work and assigned different rest days will be periodically rotated, so as to avoid the feeling of discrimination.
6. Reference to 'Sunday' in this agreement will be deemed to be the reference to general rest day at the colliery and this agreement would apply to the general rest day at the colliery even if it is a fixed on any day other than Sunday.
7. That a lump sum of Rs. 5,000 will be paid by the management to the Federation for disbursing the same amongst the entitled workmen as per this agreement for the work done by them from the date of reference of the dispute to the Tribunal i.e. 15th April, 1963 upto date in full and final settlement of their claim on account of these demands.
8. That this agreement will come in force with effect from 18th September, 1965.

Signature of the Parties

For the Employers:

1. Sd/-
2. Sd/-

For the Workers:

1. Sd/-
2. Sd/-

Witnesses:

1. Sd/-
2. Sd/-
3. Sd/-

[No. 1/15/62-LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3474.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Borrea Coal Company Limited, Post Office Bhagaband, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

(1) "Whether the management of the Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Borrea Coal Company Limited were justified in terminating the services of Sarvashri Matadin Singh and Nathuni Sao, Munshis, with effect from the 27th January, 1965.

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled ?

[No. 2/101/65-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 26th October 1965

S.O. 3475.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Loyabad Colliery (North) of Messrs Burrakur Coal Co. Ltd., (P.O. Bansjora (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

1. "Whether the management of Loyabad Colliery (North) was justified in refusing employment to Shri Ramrup Routh, Trammer, with effect from the 21st May, 1965 and finally dismissing him from services with effect from the 22nd June, 1965 ?

2. If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled ?".

[No. 2/84/65-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3476.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

(1) In the Port Department of the Bombay Port Trust which is governed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948, employees in the lower categories are required to work some times in the posts of higher categories even upto a maximum period of six days without being paid wages of higher posts or any other compensation. Whether the Bombay Port Trust Administration is justified in doing so? If not, to what relief are the employees entitled?

(2) The employees of the Port Department of Bombay Port Trust were required to work for 24 hours at a stretch on two occasions in a month for the purpose of change over of shifts upto 10th October, 1964, for which they were not paid any overtime allowance or compensation. Whether the Bombay Port Trust Administration was justified in doing so? If not, to what relief are the employees entitled?

(3) For the purpose of payment of overtime allowance to the fiotilla and other staff of the Port Department of the Bombay Port Trust who are governed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948, the Administration did not consider as part of wages the special compensatory allowance of 5 per cent of the basic pay paid to the said

employees for working on 13 holidays declared by the Trustees in a calendar year. However the Administration started paying overtime allowance considering the special compensatory allowance as part of wages with effect from the 1st August, 1964. Are the employees entitled to get arrears of overtime allowance for the past period on the revised basis? If so, with effect from what date?

[No. 28/14/65-LRIV.]

S.O. 3477.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Chinakuri 1 and 2 pits Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Co., Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal by the management of Chinakuri 1 and 2 Pits Colliery of their workman Shri Narayan Modi, Loco Driver with effect from the 7th April 1965 was an act of victimisation? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 6/84/65-LR-II.]

S.O. 3478.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Girmint Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Co., Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether termination of services of Shri Banshi Harijan, U.G. Conveyor Loader of Girmint Colliery with effect from the 7th August, 1964, was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/31/65-LR-II.]

S.O. 3479.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the East Lohapati Colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, Post Office Nudkhurkee District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of East Lohapati Colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, P.O. Nudkhurkee (District, Dhanbad) in stopping the following workmen from working in the said colliery with effect from the 5th June, 1965 and transferring them to West Godhur Colliery Post Office Kusunda (District, Dhanbad) with effect from the 4th August, 1965 was justified?

1. Shri Surja Bhulia, Wagon loader.
2. Shri Gopi Bhupia, Wagon loader.

3. Shri Karu Bhuia, Wagon loader.
4. Shri Sokhi Bhuia, Wagon loader.

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 2/90/65-LR-II.]

S.O. 3480.—Whereas the employers in relation to the Howrah—Amta Light Railway Company Limited and Howrah—Sheakhala Light Railway Company Limited and the workmen of the Howrah—Amta Light Railway Company Limited and Howrah Sheakhala Light Railway Company Limited represented by the President of the Light Railways Employees' Union, Howrah Maidan Railway Station, Howrah have jointly applied to the Central Government in the manner required by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for reference of an industrial dispute between them to a Tribunal in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the said Light Railways Employees' Union, Howrah represents a majority of the workmen;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with headquarters at Calcutta with Shri P. Basu as the Presiding Officer thereof, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

- (i) Whether the Award of Sri G. Palit in Reference No. 68 of 1959 has been validly terminated;
- (ii) If so, what should be scales of pay and dearness allowance of all categories of workmen from 1st September, 1965.

[No. 2/18/65/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 1st November 1965

S.O. 3481.—Whereas the employers in relation to the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur and its branch office at Chandni Chowk, Delhi and its workmen represented by the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur Employees' Union, Katra Shahenshahi, Chandni Chowk, Delhi have jointly applied to the Central Government in the manner required by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for reference of an industrial dispute between them to a Tribunal in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the said State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur Employees' Union, Delhi represents a majority of the workmen;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether in the circumstances of the case of offence under section 323 I.P.C. of which the workman Shri Ram Bidas has been convicted and sentenced to undergo imprisonment and fine involves moral turpitude and if so, is the management bound or at any rate justified under section 10(I)(b)(i) of the Banking Companies Act 1949 to order his discharge from the service of the Bank?

[No. 55(28)/65-LRIV.]

S.O. 3482.—Whereas the employers in relation to Shri P. A. Shamsuddin, Boatowner, Merchant and Commission Agent, Cochin-2, and their workmen represented by the Cochin Port Cargo Labour Union, have jointly applied to the Central Government in the manner required by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for the reference to a Tribunal of an industrial dispute that exists between them, in respect of the matters set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the Cochin Port Cargo Labour Union represents a majority of the said workmen;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management in refusing employment to Shri T. S. Kocha Ahamad and four lascars employed in Boat No. 1 from or about 13th August, 1964 is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 28/46/65/LRIV.]

S.O. 3483.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the M/s. Assam Railways and Trading Company Ltd., Margherita (Assam) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the workmen in the Engineering Department like Fitter Helpers, Fitters Grade 'C' and Electricians Grade 'C', who have been shown as surface workmen in Appendix 14 of the Award of All India Industrial Tribunal (Colliery Disputes), for payment of underground allowance at 12½% basic wages with effect from the 26th May, 1956 for duties performed underground is justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?

[No. 6/89/64-LRII.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 1st November 1965

S.O. 3484.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 2839, dated the 2nd September, 1965 published at pages 3106-3107 of the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii), dated the 11th September, 1965,

for "(iii) that the new ownership will be liable to pay the full unpaid dues of the workmen, is justified?"

In either case, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

read "(iii) that the new ownership will be liable to pay the full unpaid dues of the workmen,

are justified? In either case, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

ANNEXURE 'A'

S. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Shri B. L. Dave	Supervisor.
2.	Shri Sadanand Singh	Gnl. Colly.
3.	Shri Kailas Pandey	Mining Sirdar.
4.	Shri Rameshwar Mistry	Fitter.
5.	Shri Girja Shankar Singh	Pump Khalasi.
6.	Shri Udayman Singh	Fitter.
7.	Shri Motichand Singh	Pump Khalasi.
8.	Shri Shankar Bhanjey	Electrician.
9.	Shri Saha Bhar	Miner.
10.	Shri Chandra Bhar	Miner.
11.	Shri Rajpati Bhar	Miner.

S. No.	Name	Designation
12.	Shri Hari Bhar	Miner.
13.	Shri Vishwanath Bhar	Miner.
14.	Shri Balkishun Bhar	Miner.
15.	Shri Bahaoon Chamar	Miner.
16.	Shri Sukhraj Kewat	Miner.
17.	Shri Mani Ram Chamar	Miner.
18.	Shri Indra Deo Bhar	Miner.
19.	Shri Raja Ram Kewat	Miner.
20.	Shri Sikhoo Bhar	Miner.
21.	Shri Sumar Bhar	Miner.
22.	Shri Badri Harijan	Miner.
23.	Shri Jiyawan Harijan	Miner.
24.	Shri Murli Bhar	Miner.
25.	Shri Sukat Harijan	Miner.
26.	Shri Jagpati Harijan	Miner.
27.	Shri Panchoo Koiri	Miner.
28.	Shri Rarbhoo Bhar	Miner.
29.	Shri Ramdeo Bhar	Miner.
30.	Shri Patiraj Bhar	Miner.
31.	Shri Rambali Bhar	Miner.
32.	Shri Raghunath Bhar	Miner.
33.	Shri Pati Bhar	Miner.
34.	Shri Dhaneshwar Bhar	Miner.
35.	Shri Svayama Bhar	Miner.
36.	Shri Sheonath Bhar	Miner.
37.	Shri Dukhi Harijan	Miner.
38.	Shri Jagarnath Harijan	Miner.
39.	Shri Kamta Harijan	Miner.
40.	Shri Kumar Bhar No. 3	Miner.
41.	Shri Sumag Bhar	Miner.
42.	Shri Murat Bhar	Miner.
43.	Shri Lochan Bhar	Miner.
44.	Shri Parbhunath Bhar	Miner.
45.	Shri Bhuloo Harijan	Miner.
46.	Shri Basdeo Bhuiyan	Trammer.
47.	Shri Sheolal Kewat	Miner.
48.	Shri Pujan Bhar	Miner.
49.	Shri Fulchand Bhar	Miner.
50.	Shri Sodar Bhuiyan	Miner.
51.	Shri Shankar Bhuiyan	Miner.
52.	Shri Jaishree Harijan	Miner.
53.	Shri Babulal Majhi	Miner.
54.	Shri Natha Bhar	Miner.
55.	Shri Banarsi Bhar	Miner.
56.	Smt. Semli Kamin	Miner.
57.	Smt. Lashmania Bhuiyan	Ash Kamin.
58.	Shri Sita Ram Sao	Trammer.
59.	Shri Banarsi Bhar	Miner.
60.	Shri Ch. Kumar Bhar	Miner.
61.	Shri Sukaloo Bhar	Miner.
62.	Shri Sheomuni Bhar	Miner.
63.	Shri Deonandan Nonia	Miner.
64.	Shri Fatigan Bhar	Miner.
65.	Shri Kanhai Bhar	Miner.
66.	Shri Shrinath Bhar	Miner.
67.	Shri Jadoo Rewani	Line Mistry.
68.	Shri Bhdhu Bhar	Miner.
69.	Shri Bhagwat Bhar	Miner.
70.	Shri Bajoo Bhar	Miner.
71.	Shri Girdhari Bhar	Miner.
72.	Shri Ramlekhon Harijan	Line Cooly.
73.	Shri Senichar Bhuiyan	Trammer.
74.	Shri Dhanu Bhuiyan	Trammer.
75.	Shri Lachhu Bhuiyan	Trammer.
76.	Shri Bandhu Bhuiyan	Trammer.
77.	Shri Agnu Bhulan	Trammer.
78.	Smt. Sonwa Bhulan	Ash Kamin.

S. No.	Name	Designation
79.	Smt. Punia Bhuini	Ash Kamin.
80.	Shri Ramchshwar Bhuian	Trammer.
81.	Shri Shri Sitabi Bhuian	Trammer.
82.	Shri Karoo Bhuian	Miner.
83.	Shri Bisundhari Bhar	Miner.
84.	Smt. Chanmani Majhian	Miner.
85.	Shri Br. Hardeo Bhar	Miner.
86.	Shri Bhola Bhar	Miner.
87.	Shri Deonandan Bhar	Miner.
88.	Shri Sudama Bhar	Miner.
89.	Shri Hargobind Bhar	Miner.
90.	Shri Mango Bhar	Miner.
91.	Shri Muneshwar Bhar	Miner.
92.	Shri Baleshwar Bhar	Miner.
93.	Shri Saroop Bhar	Miner.
94.	Shri Shri Jhagtoo Bhar	Miner.
95.	Shri Bisuni Harijan	Miner.
96.	Shri Bideshi Bhar	Miner.
97.	Shri Jhiloo Bhar	Miner.
98.	Shri Hardeo Harijan	Miner.
99.	Shri Sarif Harijan	Miner.
100.	Shri Balkisun Harijan	Miner.
101.	Shri Jagrup Bhar	Miner.
102.	Shri Shrinath Bhar	Miner.
103.	Shri Mangal Bhuian	Sweeper.
104.	Shri Parbhu Bhuian	Sweeper.
105.	Shri Lochan Bhuian	Loading.
106.	Shri Sheo Kumar Singh	Mining Sirdar
107.	Shri Budhu Bhuian	Loading.
108.	Shri Sudarsan Singh	Munshi.
109.	Shri Mahadeo Bhar	Miner.
110.	Shri Biswanath Pandey	On setter.
111.	Shri Shripati Bhar	Miner.
112.	Shri Gajadhar Bhar	Miner.
113.	Shri Suwa Bhar	Miner.

[No. 1/7/65-LRIL.]

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd October 1965

S.O. 3485.—PWA/Ext/Air Services/65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 1, read with section 24 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936, (4 of 1936), the Central Government hereby proposes to extend the provisions of the said Act, to the payment of wages to persons employed in air transport services, other than such services belonging to, or exclusively employed in the military, naval or air forces of the Union or the Civil Aviation Department of the Government of India.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received in respect of the proposed extension before the 7th February 1966 will be considered by the Central Government. Such objections or suggestions may be addressed to "The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.

[No. 537/10/65-Fac.]

New Delhi, the 27th October 1965

S.O. 3486.—PWA/Sec. 14/Min/65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 14 read with section 24 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 1953 dated 14th April, 1964, the Central Government hereby appoints the Officers mentioned in column (2) of the Table below to be Inspectors for the purposes of the said Act in the areas

specified against them in column (3) thereof, to exercise their functions in respect of all mines and Oilfields to which the said Act applies, namely:—

TABLE

I. No.	Officer	Area
1	2	3
1	Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi	
2	Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi	Whole of India except the State of Jammu & Kashmir.
3	Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) (Training), New Delhi	
4	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) (Training), New Delhi	
5	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) (CLC's H. Qrs.), New Delhi	
6	Welfare Adviser to the Chief Labour Commissioner, New Delhi	
7	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Training), New Delhi	
1	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Bombay	
2	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bombay-I	The States of Gujarat and Maharashtra and the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.
3	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bombay-II	
4	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bombay-III	
5	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Nagpur	
6	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Vascodagama	
7	Labour Enforcement Officers in Bombay region with headquarters at :—	
	(i) Bombay-I	
	(ii) Bombay-II	
	(iii) Bombay-III	
	(iv) Poona	
	(v) Ahmedabad	
	(vi) Bhusawal	
	(vii) Rajkot	
	(viii) Nagpur	
	(ix) Chanda	
	(x) (H. Qrs.-I) Bombay	
	(xi) (H. Qrs.-II) Bombay	
	(xii) Kandia	
	(xiii) Baroda	
	(xiv) Vascodagama	
	(xv) Ponda	
I.I 1	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Calcutta	
2	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Calcutta-I	
3	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Calcutta-II	
4	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Calcutta (Hqrs.-I)	
5	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Calcutta (Hqrs.-II)	
6	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Shillong	
7	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Asansol	
8	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Raniganj	
9	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Jharsuguda	
10	Labour Enforcement Officers in Calcutta region with headquarters at :—	The States of West Bengal, Assam, Orissa, and Nagaland and the Union Territories of Manipur and Tripura.
	(i) Calcutta-I	
	(ii) Calcutta-II	
	(iii) Gauhati	
	(iv) Dibrugarh	
	(v) Kharagpur	
	(vi) Calcutta (Hqrs.-I)	
	(vii) Calcutta (Hqrs.-II)	
	(viii) Tezpur	
	(ix) Neamatpur	

	1	2	3
	(x) Asansol (xi) Raniganj (xii) Ukhra (xiii) Tinsukhia (xiv) Cuttack (xv) Siliguri (xvi) Jharsuguda (xvii) Barbil		
III.	1 Junior Labour Inspector (C) with headquarters at :— (i) Asansol (ii) Sitarampur (iii) Raniganj		
IV.	1 Regional Labour Commissioner (C), Madras 2 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Madras 3 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Madras (Headquarters) 4 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Cochin 5 Labour Enforcement Officers in Madras region with headquarters at :— (i) Tiruchirapalli (ii) Coimbatore (iii) Madurai (iv) Trivandrum (v) Madras (vi) Madras (H. Qrs. I) (vii) Madras (H. Qrs.-II) (viii) Cochin		The States of Madras and Kerela and Union Territory of Pondicherry.
V.	1 Regional Labour Commissioner (C), Jabalpur 2 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Jabalpur 3 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Ajmer 4 Labour Enforcement Officers in Jabalpur region with headquarters at :— (i) Jabalpur (ii) Parasia (iii) Ajmer (iv) Bhilwara (v) Jaipur (vi) Ratlam (vii) Jabalpur Headquarter-I (viii) Jabalpur Headquarter-II (ix) Jabalpur Headquarter-III (x) Jodhpur (xi) Raipur (xii) Balaghat (xiii) Chitimiri (xiv) Bikaner 5 Junior Labour Inspectors (Central) with headquarters at :— (i) Katni (ii) Parasia		The States of Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan.
VI.	1 Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Kanpur 2 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Kanpur 3 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Kanpur (H.Qrs.) 4 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Delhi-I 5 Assistant Labour Commissioner, Delhi-II 6 Labour Enforcement Officers in Kanpur region with headquarters at :— (i) Delhi-I (ii) Delhi-II (iii) Delhi-III (iv) Gorakhpur (v) Allahabad		The States of Uttar Pradesh, Pun jab and the Union Territories of Himachal Pradesh & Delhi.

	2		3
	(vi) Lucknow		
	(vii) Bareilly		
	(viii) Jullundur		
	(ix) Kanpur		
	(x) Kanpur (headquarters)		
	(xi) Ambala		
7	Junior Labour Inspector (Central), Agra		
VII. 1	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad..		The State of Bihar.
2	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad-I		
3	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad-III		
4	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Hazaribagh		
5	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad (Hqrs.-I)		
6	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Dhanbad (Hqrs.-II)		
7	Labour Enforcement Officers in Dhanbad region with headquarters at :—		
	(i) Ranchi		
	(ii) Patna		
	(iii) Dhanbad		
	(iv) Katrasgarh		
	(v) Koderma		
	(vi) Muzaffarpur		
	(vii) Giridih		
	(viii) Pakur		
	(ix) Jharia East		
	(x) Jharia West		
	(xi) Bhagmara-I		
	(xii) Bhagmara-II		
	(xiii) Chirkunda		
	(xiv) Ramgarh		
	(xv) Kirtendih		
	(xvi) Partherdih		
	(xvii) Chaibasa		
	(xviii) Dhanbad (H. Qrs.)		
	(xix) Bermo		
	(xx) Katihar		
	(xxi) Dhanbad (Implementation)		
	(xxii) Domchanch		
8	Junior Labour Inspectors (Central) with headquarters at :—		
	(i) Katrasgarh		
	(ii) Bermo		
	(iii) Bhagmara		
	(iv) Nirsa		
	(v) Dehri-on-Sone		
	(vi) Dhanbad		
VIII. 1	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Hyderabad..		The States of Andhra Pradesh &
2	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Visakhapatnam		Mysore.
3	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Hyderabad		
4	Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bangalore		
5	Labour Enforcement Officers in Hyderabad region with headquarters at :—		
	(i) Visakhapatnam		
	(ii) Vijayawada		
	(iii) Gudur		
	(iv) Guntakal		
	(v) Hyderabad		
	(vi) Arsikere (Hqrs. II)		
	(vii) Kothagudlum		
	(viii) Hyderabad (Hqrs. I)		
	(ix) Hyderabad (Hqrs-II)		
	(x) Kolar Gold Fields		
	(xi) Bangalore		
	(xii) Hubli		
	(xiii) Mancherial		

New Delhi, the 28th October 1965

S.O. 3487.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8 of the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1947 (32 of 1947), read with rule 3 of the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules, 1949, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri J. C. Malhotra, a person nominated by the Madhya Pradesh and Vidarbha Mining Association, as a member of the Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Advisory Committee constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 4380, dated the 23rd December, 1964, *vice* Shri B. S. Grewal resigned, and makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the said notification, for serial No. 12 and the entries relating thereto the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“12. Shri J. C. Malhotra.—Nominated by the Madhya Pradesh and Vidarbha Mining Association”.

[No. 3/19/65-MII.]

B. K. SAKSENA, Under Secy.